arXiv:1610.03146v3 [math.DS] 14 Oct 2016

PISOT CONJECTURE AND RAUZY FRACTALS

KENTARO NAKAISHI

Abstract. We provide a proof of Pisot conjecture, a classification problem in Ergodic Theory on recurrent sequences generated by irreducible Pisot substitutions.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Preliminaries 4
2. Prefix automaton and substitution dynamical system 5
2.1. Prefix automaton 5
2.2. Parry measure 8
3. Number theoretical properties 9
4. Space of geometrical representation 11
5. Partial order on Prefix automaton 14
6. Rauzy fractals (unimodular case) 14
7. Rauzy fractals as Minkowski embedding 15
8. Uniform-distribution type result on Rauzy fractals 17
9. Tiling results (unimodular case) 20
9.1. Exclusion Principle 20
9.2. Ergodicity and exclusion principle 22
9.3. Tilings 24
10. Rauzy fractals (general case) 25
11. Uniform-distribution type result (general case) 28
12. Tiling results (general case) 29
12.1. Exclusion Principle (general case) 29
12.2. Tiling results (general case) 31
13. Coincidence conjecture 32
14. Discrete groups related to Rauzy fractal 33
14.1. Domain exchange 33
14.2. Discrete groups in K_α and H 34
Appendix A. The existence of periodic points for σ 36
Appendix B. irreducibility 37

Date: October 17, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*:37A30,37A45,11F85,28A80,05B45,68Q45.

Appendix C. ergodic measure ν 37
Appendix D. $\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)$ 38
Appendix E. Height 38
References 39

Introduction

One of the main concerns in ergodic theory is to study the recurrence structure in a given measure-preserving system. Of all such recurrent phenomena, we study uniformly recurrent sequences generated by substitutions. The followings are some of our consequences.

Theorem A. *Let σ be an irreducible Pisot substitution over an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. Then the substitution dynamical system $(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\sigma(u)}, S, \nu)$ has discrete spectrum.*

Theorem B. *If σ is an irreducible Pisot substitution over an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, then σ satisfies the strong coincidence condition.*

Theorem A is known as *Pisot Conjecture* or *Pisot Substitution Conjecture* and Theorem B as *Coincidence Conjecture* [1].

An ergodic measure-preserving transformation $(X, \mathcal{B}, T, m)$ *has discrete spectrum* if there exists an orthonormal basis for $L^2(m)$ which consists of eigenfunctions of the unitary operator $U_T : L^2(m) \to L^2(m)$ defined by $U_T f = f \circ T$. An irrational rotation $T(z) = az$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ gives a typical example of dynamical systems with discrete spectrum. Its characters $\chi_n(x) = \exp(-\sqrt{-1}nx)$ are the engenfunctions for T and form $L^2(m)$ bases where m is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. If a measure-preserving system has discrete spectrum, then it is isomorphic to a rotation on a compact abelian group (Halmos-von Neumann). Thus Pisot conjecture is a classification problem of recurrent sequences in ergodic theory.

Historically Morse [17] uses the mechanism of substitution (now called *Morse-Thue substitution*) to show an abundance of non-periodic recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature. In [9], Dekking obtains the necessary and sufficient condition on σ, *coincidence condition*, for a substitution of constant length like the Morse-Thue one to have discrete spectrum. Incidentally the assumption of irreducibility is indispensable to the conjectures since Morse-Thue substitution, which is reducible, has continuous spectrum. Dekking and Keane [8] verify that any substitution dynamical system is at most weakly mixing.

Rauzy [21] is the first who goes beyond substitutions of constant length and shows that the dynamical system for $\sigma_R(1) = 12, \sigma_R(2) = 13, \sigma_R(3) = 1$ is isomorphic to a minimal rotation on the 2-torus. Rauzy represents

the symbolic dynamical system as a transformation on a compact subset in the plane (*Rauzy fractal*), which happens to be simply connected in $\mathbb{C}$ and is a "fractal fundamental domain" of a discrete subgroup acting on $\mathbb{C}$. Later, Arnoux and Ito [2] generalize Rauzy's work into unimodular Pisot substitutions over a finite alphabet with the introduction of a new combinatorial condition, the *strong coincidence condition*.

A *prefix* (*suffix*) of the word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ is a subword of w, $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ for $k < n$ ($w_k w_{k+1} \cdots w_n$ for $k > 1$), including the empty word $\emptyset$. A word $\sigma^n(j)$ has prefix-suffix representations of the form $\sigma^n(j) = pas$ where p is a prefix, a is a letter and s is a suffix of $\sigma^n(j)$.

Definition 0.1. [Strong Coincidence] A substitution σ satisfies the *strong coincidence condition* if for every pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ there exist $n > 0$ and a common letter i so that $\sigma^n(a) = pis$ and $\sigma^n(b) = p'is'$ with $f(p) = f(p')$.

For irreducible Pisot substitutions over two letters ($\#\mathcal{A} = 2$), both conjectures are already solved: Hollander and Solomyak [12] follows from Barge and Diamond [4]. For specific classes of substitution over 3 and more letters the conjectures are proved or claimed by several authors. See Barge [3] for a different version of Pisot conjecture. For more recent progress and complete references, we refer to [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall basics about substitution. In Section 2, another description of the substitution dynamical system by the prefix automaton with Parry measure is introduced. Number theoretical properties are stated in Section 3. We describe in Section 4 how to compare finite paths on the prefix automaton. Defined in Section 4 is a space where Rauzy fractals live. In Section 6-9, we mainly deal with unimodular irreducible Pisot substitutions. There are different formulations of Rauzy fractal (see [2], [7],[24]). Relations between them are discussed in Section 6-7. We see in Section 8 that a certain kind of finite paths on the prefix automaton are nearly uniformly distributed on Rauzy fractals. This uniformity will be needed in later sections. Since the discovery of quasi-crystals, quasi-periodic tilings have been extensively studied. Pisot substitutions are one of the sources for such tilings in the "physical space" and conjectured to have one even in the "internal space". In Section 9, we affirmatively answer that conjecture, which will lead to solve Pisot conjecture. In Section 10-13, the restriction to the unimodular case is removed. A parallel argument to Section 7-9 is made. Theorem B is proved in Section 13. Using it, we prove Theorem A in Section 14.

We must admit that logically there is no need to split into the unimodular case and the general case. Nevertheless we take advantage of assuming the least knowledge of algebraic number theory first for an overview of the proof.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize basic facts about substitution. We refer to [18] for details. Some of the facts missing in the literature can be found in Appendix

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite alphabet and denote by $\mathcal{A}^*$ the set of all finite words over $\mathcal{A}$ and the empty set $\emptyset$, which is equipped with the structure of monoid by concatenation. We may set $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ $(d \geq 2)$. A *substitution* (over $\mathcal{A}$) is a mapping $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^*$. It is easily seen that σ extends to $\mathcal{A}^*$ by requiring $\sigma(w_1 w_2) = \sigma(w_1)\sigma(w_2)$ and $\sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Let $E_0(\sigma) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a linear mapping, sometimes called the *abelianization*, defined by

$$E_0(\sigma) = [f(\sigma(1))\ f(\sigma(2))\ \cdots\ f(\sigma(d))]$$

where $f : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a homomorphism defined by

$$f(w_1 w_2 \dots w_k) = \boldsymbol{e}_{w_1} + \boldsymbol{e}_{w_2} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{w_k}, \quad f(\emptyset) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

with $f \circ \sigma = E_0(\sigma) \circ f$ and $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

A substitution σ naturally induces a mapping on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$\sigma(u) := \cdots \sigma(u_{-1}).\sigma(u_0)\sigma(u_1)\cdots \quad \text{if } u = (u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

where . indicates the 0-th coordinate. By abuse of language, we use the same symbol σ even when we let σ act on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{L}_\sigma$ the set of all the factors (subwords) of $\sigma^n(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \geq 0$. Denote by $\mathfrak{L}(x)$ the set of all the factors of $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Define the *substitution shift* (X_σ, S) where

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathfrak{L}(x) \subset \mathfrak{L}_\sigma\}$$

and $S : A^{\mathbb{Z}} \to A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the shift: $(Sx)_i = x_{i+1}$ for $x = (x_i)$. A point $u \in X_\sigma$ is a *periodic point* for σ if $\sigma^k(u) = u$ for some $k > 0$. Especially when $\sigma(u) = u$, u is a *fixed point* for σ. We will study the shift-recurrent structure of a fixed point u.

A substitution σ is *primitive* if there exists $n > 0$ so that for all $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$ the sequence $\sigma^n(i)$ contains j. This is equivalent to the fact that $E_0(\sigma)^n$ is positive. If σ is primitive, then $X_\sigma = X_{\sigma^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has at least one periodic point (Appendix A).

We call σ an *irreducible Pisot substitution* if the characteristic polynomial of $E_0(\sigma)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and its Perron-Frobenius root (the maximal eigenvalue) is a Pisot number. Remember that an algebraic integer $\alpha > 1$ is a *Pisot number* (or *Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number*) if the other conjugates $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ satisfy $1 > |\alpha_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\alpha_d|$. If σ is an irreducible Pisot substitution, it is primitive ([7]) and any fixed point of $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is not S-periodic ([13], hence $\#X_\sigma = \infty$).

Since the irreducibility of σ is preserved by iteration (Appendix B), the following assumption is justified. Where Pisot conjecture is concerned, *any irreducible Pisot substitution σ has a fixed point u.* And if σ has a fixed point, then $X_\sigma = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\sigma(u)}$ where

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_\sigma(u)} = \overline{\{S^n u : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

is the orbit closure of u with respect to some distance. This compact dynamical system $(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\sigma(u)}, S)$ has a unique S-invariant ergodic measure ν and is minimal. The triple $(\overline{\mathcal{O}_\sigma(u)}, S, \nu)$ is called the *substitution dynamical system* for σ.

2. Prefix automaton and substitution dynamical system

As mentioned before, we may assume that any irreducible Pisot substitution σ has a fixed point: $\sigma(u) = u$. Then there exist letters u_{-1} and u_0 so that $\sigma(u_{-1})$ ends with u_{-1}, $\sigma(u_0)$ starts with u_0 and

$$u = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma^n(u_{-1}).\sigma^n(u_0) = \cdots u_{-1}.u_0u_1u_2\cdots .$$

2.1. **Prefix automaton.** Let Pref be the set of prefixes of $\sigma(i)$ for all $i \in \mathcal{A}$: we include the empty set $\emptyset$ in Pref. Similarly $\mathrm{Pref}(a)$ denotes the set of prefixes for $\sigma(a)$. More explicitly, if $\sigma(a) = w_1^{(a)}w_2^{(a)}\dots w_{l_a}^{(a)}$,then

$$\mathrm{Pref}(a) = \{\emptyset, w_1^{(a)}, \dots, w_1^{(a)}w_2^{(a)}\cdots w_{l_a-1}^{(a)}\}.$$

Example 1. *For Rauzy substitution σ_R,* $\mathrm{Pref} = \{\emptyset, 1\}$. *This corresponds to the symbolic space coded by* $\{0,1\}$ *in* [21].

Example 2. *For* $\sigma_C(1) = 12, \sigma_c(2) = 31, \sigma_c(3) = 1$ (*"Christmas tree"*), $\mathrm{Pref} = \{\emptyset, 1, 3\}$.

Remark 2.1. Notice that σ_R and σ_c have the same abelianization but topologically different Rauzy fractals in the sense of §6. Rauzy fractal for σ_R is homeomorphic to a disk while the one for σ_C has many holes.

To describe substitution sequences, Rauzy [19] constructed the *prefix automaton*: an automaton for which the vertex set is $\mathcal{A}$ and the edge set is Pref. Each edge $F \in \mathrm{Pref}$ starts at a vertex $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and terminates at $q \in \mathcal{A}$ if Fq is a prefix of $\sigma(p)$ or $\sigma(p)$ itself (see Figure 1).

Denote the prefix-suffix representation $\sigma(a) = pbs$ by $(a : p, b, s)$, or equivalently $(a : p)$, for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $p \in \mathrm{Pref}(a)$ and a suffix s.

Remark 2.2. For irreducible Pisot substitutions, given (p, b, s), there exists a unique $a \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\sigma(a) = pbs$: otherwise $E_0(\sigma)$ would be degenerate.

FIGURE 1. Prefix automaton for σ_R

Let V be the set of all possible prefix-suffix representations for σ

$$V = \{(a : p) : a \in \mathcal{A}, p \in \mathrm{Pref}(a)\}.$$

Definition 2.1. Given $l > 0$, an *admissible path* of length l on the prefix automaton is a l-string of the elements

$$(b_l : p_{l-1}, a_{l-1}, s_{l-1}), \dots, (b_1 : p_0, a_0, s_0) \in V$$

with $a_k = b_k$ for $1 \le k \le l-1$.

$b_l \xrightarrow{p_{l-1}} a_{l-1} = b_{l-1} \xrightarrow{p_{l-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_1} a_1 = b_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} a_0$.

Theorem 3 (Rauzy[19], Dumont-Thomas[10])**.** *To each $u_{[0,k]} = u_0 \cdots u_k$, there exists a unique admissible path of length l*

$$(b_l : p_{l-1}, a_{l-1}, s_{l-1}), \dots, (b_1 : p_0, a_0, s_0) \in V$$

so that

$$u_{[0,k]} = \sigma^{l-1}(p_{l-1})\sigma^{l-2}(p_{l-2}) \cdots \sigma(p_1)p_0, \quad p_{l-1} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and } b_l = u_0.$$

Conversely, to such an admissible path on the prefix automaton there corresponds a prefix of $u_0u_1 \cdots$ given by the formula above.

Adopting the style of left-infinite sequences

$$((b_{k+1} : p_k, a_k, s_k))_{k \ge 0} = \dots (b_2 : p_1, a_1, s_1)(b_1 : p_0, a_0, s_0),$$

define

$$\Omega = \Big\{((b_{k+1} : p_k, a_k, s_k))_{k \ge 0} \in \prod_{-\infty}^{k=0} V : a_k = b_k \text{ for } k \ge 1\Big\}.$$

This is the set of infinite walks on the prefix automaton. The subset of infinite walks which end with the vertex a will be denoted by $\Omega(a)$.

An element $((b_{k+1} : p_k, a_k, s_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega$ is related to $\omega \in X_\sigma$ by

$$\omega = \cdots \sigma^n(p_n) \cdots \sigma(p_1) p_0 . a_0 s_0 \sigma(s_1) \cdots \sigma^n(s_n) \cdots . \tag{1}$$

The inverse mapping $\Xi : X_\sigma \to \Omega$ is called the *desubstitution*.

Theorem 4 (Canterini-Siegel[6])**.** *By Ξ, X_σ is continuously mapped onto Ω and one-to-one except on a countable set X_0 coming from the periodic points of σ where it is k-to-1 for some $k > 0$.*

Since $\nu(X_0) = 0$ (Appendix C), Theorem 4 implies that (X_σ, ν) induces an isomorphic measure space (Ω, ν^*) where ν^* is the push-forward of ν by Ξ: $\nu^* = \nu \circ \Xi^{-1}$.

Denote the *cylinders* of Ω by

$$\langle e_n \cdots e_0 \rangle = \{((b_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega : (b_{i+1} : p_i) = e_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

If $e_i = (a_{i+1} : p_i, a_i, s_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$ and if $p = \sigma^k(p_k)\sigma^{k-1}(p_{k-1}) \cdots p_0$, then

$$\langle e_k \cdots e_0 \rangle = \Xi(S^{|p|}\sigma^{k+1}[a_{k+1}]).$$

Note that $\langle e_k \cdots e_0 \rangle \subset \Xi([a_0])$.

Let $l_a = \#\mathrm{Pref}(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\aleph = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}} l_a$. Set $l_0 = 0$ and $w_0^{(a)} = \emptyset$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \aleph$, there exist unique integers i and L so that

$$\sum_{a=0}^{i} l_a \leq k < \sum_{a=0}^{i+1} l_a, \quad L = k - \sum_{a=0}^{i} l_a.$$

Accordingly define

$$e_k = (i+1 : w_0^{(i+1)} \ldots w_{k-L}^{(i+1)}).$$

Let V be arranged in this order: $V = \{e_1, \ldots, e_\aleph\}$. Then one can make a graph G with the vertex set V and the adjacent matrix $A = (a_{e_i e_j})_{e_i, e_j \in V}$ defined as follows: for $e_i = (b : p, a, s)$ and $e_j = (b' : p', a', s')$,

$$a_{e_i e_j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since σ is primitive, A is irreducible and aperiodic ($A^n > 0$ for some $n > 0$). In this way, (Ω, S) can be seen a subshift of finite type, where we use the same symbol S as the shift in Ω.

Let $\boldsymbol{u}_i = {}^t(u_1(i), \ldots, u_d(i))$ be the eigenvector of $E_0(\sigma)$ for α_i:

$$E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{u}_i = \alpha_i \boldsymbol{u}_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\phi(x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $E_0(\sigma)$. Then the characteristic polynominal of A is $x^{N-d}\phi(x)$. In particular the maximal eigenvalue of A is also α.*

Proof. Define the vectors

$$[\boldsymbol{u}_i] = {}^t(\underbrace{u_1(i)\dots u_1(i)}_{l_1}\underbrace{u_2(i)\dots u_2(i)}_{l_2}\dots\underbrace{u_d(i)\dots u_d(i)}_{l_d}) \in \mathbb{C}^N$$

for $1 \le i \le d$. Then it is easy to derive $A[\boldsymbol{u}_i] = \alpha_i[\boldsymbol{u}_i]$ from $E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{u}_i = \alpha_i\boldsymbol{u}_i$. By construction the adjacent matrix A has only d linearly independent row vectors. So $\mathrm{rank}A = d$ and the lemma follows. □

Example 6. *For Rauzy substitution* σ_R

$$V = \{(1:\emptyset), (1:1), (2:\emptyset), (2:1), (3:\emptyset)\}.$$

The adjacent matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of A *is* $x^2(x^3 - x^2 - x - 1)$.

Definition 2.2. *An admissible path of length* l in G is a $(l+1)$-string of the elements

$$(b_{l+1} : p_l, a_l, s_l), \dots, (b_1 : p_0, a_0, s_0) \in V$$

with $a_k = b_k$ for $1 \le k \le l$.

Put $A^n = (a_{IJ}^{(n)})_{I,J\in V}$. It is standard that the number of paths of length n in G starting from the vertex I to $J \in V$ is given by $a_{IJ}^{(n)}$. Equivalently this is the number of the admissible paths of length $n+1$ on the prefix automaton which start from the vertex a and end at the vertex b' if $I = (a : p, b, s)$ and $J = (a' : p', b', s')$.

Put $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_1$. By the proof of Lemma 5, the well-known formula recovers:

$$a_{IJ}^{(n)} = {}^t\boldsymbol{e}_I A^n \boldsymbol{e}_J = \alpha^n[\boldsymbol{u}]_I[\boldsymbol{v}]_J + O(|\alpha_2|^n) \quad (n \to \infty) \tag{2}$$

where $[\boldsymbol{u}]_I$ is the I-th component of $[\boldsymbol{u}]$.

2.2. **Parry measure.** Since (Ω, S) is a subshift of finite type, one can construct the *Parry measure* m on Ω, which is a S-invariant $(\boldsymbol{p}, P)$-Markov measure defined as follows.

Let $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N)$ be the strictly positive left and right eigrenvectors of A for α with the normalisation $\sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k\eta_k = 1$. Define the probability row vector $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_{e_1} \dots p_{e_N})$ and the stochastic matrix $P = (p_{e_ie_j})_{e_i,e_j\in V}$ by

$$p_{e_i} = \xi_i\eta_i, \quad p_{e_ie_j} = \frac{a_{e_ie_j}\eta_j}{\alpha\eta_i} \quad (1 \le i, j \le N).$$

It is easy to see the relation $\boldsymbol{p}P = \boldsymbol{p}$. Then by the definition of Markov measure it follows that

$$m(\langle e_0 \rangle) = p_{e_0} \quad \text{and} \quad m(\langle e_k \cdots e_1 e_0 \rangle) = p_{e_0} p_{e_0 e_1} \cdots p_{e_{k-1} e_k} > 0$$

for any cylinder set $\langle e_k \cdots e_1 e_0 \rangle$ in Ω. As a result, m is equivalent to ν^* since both measures are positive on all the cylinder sets of Ω. Moreover, since P is irreducible, the measure m is ergodic (see Theorem 1.13 of [26] for example).

Take a measurable set $\mathcal{E}$ in Ω with $\mu(\mathcal{E}) > 0$. For $x \in \Omega$ let $n(x)$ be the *first return time* to $\mathcal{E}$:

$$n(x) = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S^n x \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

Lemma 7. $\lim_{k\to\infty} m(\{x \in \Omega : n(x) \geq k\}) = 0$. *Moreover, for any integer* $N > 0$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} m(\{x \in \Omega : n(S^N x) \geq k\}) = 0$.

Proof. Since (Ω, S, μ) is an ergodic measure-preserving system, Birkhoff ergodic theorem shows that for almost every poin in Ω the orbit visits infinitely many times to $\mathcal{E}$. Hence one obtains a measurable partition of Ω by $n(x)$

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 1} \{x \in \Omega : n(x) = k\} = \Omega$$

(otherwise there would exist a set of positive measure for which the orbit never returns to $\mathcal{E}$). Set $X_k = \{x \in \Omega : n(x) \leq k\}$. Then X_k is monotone-increasing and $\cup_{k\geq 1} X_k = \Omega$. Hence $\lim_{k\to\infty} m(X_k) = m(\Omega)$. The rest follows from

$$\{x \in \Omega : n(S^N x) \geq k\} = S^{-N}\{x \in \Omega : n(x) \geq k\}$$

and the S-invariance of m. □

3. Number theoretical properties

Let E be a $d \times d$ non-negative matrix with integer entries. Assume that E is primitive ($E^n > 0$ for some $n > 0$) and that the characteristic polynomial of E is irreducible. By Perron-Frobenius theorem, there exist an algebraic integer (eigenvalue) $\alpha > 0$ and a positive eigenvector for E. In particular, there exist $\boldsymbol{u} = {}^t(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = {}^t(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d)$ such that

$$E\boldsymbol{u} = \alpha\boldsymbol{u}, \quad {}^tE\boldsymbol{v} = \alpha\boldsymbol{v}.$$

For convenience, we take the normalization $u_1 = v_1 = 1$.

Lemma 8. $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d\}$ *and* $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\}$ *are linearly independent over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *respectively.*

Proof. Set $\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1})$. The first coordinates of $E^k\boldsymbol{u} = \alpha^k\boldsymbol{u}$ for $1 \le k \le d$ form a $d \times d$ matrix M with integer entries so that $M\boldsymbol{u} = \alpha\boldsymbol{a}$. Then M is invertible: otherwise there would be some α^k which is a linear combination of the other members of $\boldsymbol{a}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ in contradiction to irreducibility.

Suppose that there exists $\boldsymbol{c} = {}^t(c_0, \dots, c_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ so that

$$c_0u_1 + c_1u_2 + \cdots + c_{d-1}u_d = {}^t\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{u} = 0.$$

Then ${}^t\boldsymbol{a}{}^t(M^{-1})\boldsymbol{c} = 0$. Since the components of $\boldsymbol{a}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, it follows that ${}^t(M^{-1})\boldsymbol{c} = 0$. Hence $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{0}$. The same argument for ${}^tE$ gives a similar result for $\boldsymbol{v}$. □

Proposition 9. *Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d \backslash \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{x}, E\boldsymbol{x}, \dots, E^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Suppose that there exist $c_0, \dots, c_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ so that

$$c_0\boldsymbol{x} + c_1E\boldsymbol{x} + \cdots + c_{d-1}E^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{3}$$

Acting the eigenvector ${}^t\boldsymbol{v}$ on (3) from left yields

$$(c_0 + c_1\alpha + \dots c_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}){}^t\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{x} = 0.$$

Since all the components $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d\}$ of $\boldsymbol{v}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Lemma 8 , it follows that ${}^t\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$. Hence $c_0 + c_1\alpha + \dots c_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1} = 0$. By irreducibility, we conclude that $c_0 = \cdots = c_{d-1} = 0$. □

As a simple application, let $\boldsymbol{x} = {}^t(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and denote the determinant of the square matrix of the column vectors in Proposition 9 by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \det[\boldsymbol{x}\ E\boldsymbol{x}\ \dots E^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x}].$$

Then $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ is a homogeneous Diophantine equation of degree d associated with E and α.

Corollary 10. *The equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = 0$ has no integer solution except the trivial one.*

Proof. It is obvious from Proposition 9. □

Example 11. *The equation*

$$x^2 - y^2 - xy = 0$$

has no integer solution except $(x, y) = \boldsymbol{0}$. Indeed, let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $E^2 > 0$ and its characteristic polynomial $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1$ is irreducible.

Example 12. *The equation*

$$x^3 + 2y^3 + z^3 - 2x^2y - 2xyz + 2y^2z - x^2z + xz^2 + 2yz^2 = 0$$

has no integer solution except $(x, y, z) = \mathbf{0}$. *Indeed, let*

$$E = E_0(\sigma_R) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $E^3 > 0$ *and its characteristic polynomial* $\alpha^3 - \alpha^2 - \alpha - 1$ *is irreducible.*

Proposition 13. *Let* σ *be an irreducible Pisot substitution. Suppose that the minimal polynomial has a complex conjugate* $\alpha_i = |\alpha_i| e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}$. *Then* θ *is irrational.*

Proof. Suppose that θ is rational. Set $\theta = \frac{p}{q}$ where p and q are relatively prime. Since $\alpha_i^q = \overline{\alpha_i}^q$, the characteristic polynomial of $E_0(\sigma)^q$ has a multiple root. Combined with Appendix B and the relation $E_0(\sigma^q) = E_0(\sigma)^q$, this contradicts the fact that any irreducible polynomial over a field of characteristic 0 has only simple roots (see Proposition 6.1 of [15] for example). □

4. Space of geometrical representation

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1$ be the largest eigenvalue of $E_0(\sigma)$ which is a Pisot number. And let α_i $(i = 2, \ldots, r)$ be real Galois conjugates and $\alpha_i, \overline{\alpha_i}$ $(i = r+1, \ldots, r+s)$ be a pair of complex conjugates with $d = r + 2s$. Let $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ be the eigenvector for α_i: $E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{u}_i = \alpha_i \boldsymbol{u}_i$. Decompose the complex eigenvectors into the real and imaginary part: $\boldsymbol{u}_i = \boldsymbol{u}_R^{(i)} + \sqrt{-1}\boldsymbol{u}_I^{(i)}$ for $r+1 \le i \le r+s$.

Since any irreducible polynomial over a field of characteristic 0 has only simple roots, $E_0(\sigma) : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^d$ has the spectral decomposition

$$E_0(\sigma) = \alpha_1 \mathrm{Pr}(\alpha_1) + \alpha_2 \mathrm{Pr}(\alpha_2) + \cdots + \alpha_d \mathrm{Pr}(\alpha_d)$$

where $\mathrm{Pr}(\alpha_i)$ is the projection to the eigenspace generated by $\boldsymbol{u}_i$. This projection is explicitly given by

$$\mathrm{Pr}(\alpha_i) = \frac{\prod_{\lambda \neq \alpha_i} (E_0(\sigma) - \lambda I)}{\prod_{\lambda \neq \alpha_i} (\alpha_i - \lambda)} \tag{4}$$

where $\prod_{\lambda \neq \alpha_i}$ means the product for which λ runs through all the eigenvalues of $E_0(\sigma)$ except α_i, and I denotes the unit matrix.

Let $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ be the eigenvector of α_i for ${}^tE_0(\sigma)$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the orthogonal space to $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}_1$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}$ is invariant under $E_0(\sigma)$: $E_0(\sigma)\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{P}$ be the projection onto $\mathcal{P}$ along the maximal eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_1$ of $E_0(\sigma)$.

For each real conjugate root α_i, there exists a 1-dimensional invariant subspace $\mathcal{P}_i$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The action $E_0(\sigma)$ on $\mathcal{P}_i$ is the contraction by α_i.

For each pair of complex conjugate roots $(\alpha_i, \overline{\alpha_i})$, there is a 2-dimensional invariant subspace $\mathcal{P}_i$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The action $E_0(\sigma)$ on $\mathcal{P}_i$ is the contraction by $|\alpha_i|$ and the irrational rotation of $2\pi\theta = \arg\alpha_i$ by Proposition 13. Then we have a decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$ into the invariant subspaces

$$\mathcal{P} = \underbrace{\mathcal{P}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_r}_{invariant\ line} \oplus \underbrace{\mathcal{P}_{r+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{P}_{r+s}}_{invariant\ plane}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{P}$ has a representation

$$\Big\{ \sum_{k=2}^{r} a_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + 2 \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+s} (\Re a_k)\boldsymbol{u}_R^{(k)} - (\Im a_k)\boldsymbol{u}_I^{(k)} : a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+s} \in \mathbb{C} \Big\}.$$

Lemma 14. *The mapping $G : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{C}^s$ defined by*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{r} a_k \boldsymbol{u}_k + 2 \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+s} (\Re a_k)\boldsymbol{u}_R^{(k)} - (\Im a_k)\boldsymbol{u}_I^{(k)} \mapsto (a_2, \dots, a_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+s})$$

is bijection.

Proof. Obvious. □

Let denote the Hermitian inner product by $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle = {}^t\boldsymbol{x}\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}$.

Lemma 15. *Let $\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle = c^{-1}$. For $1 \le i \le d$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_i = \Pr(\alpha_i)\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Proof. For $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \le i \le d$ there exists a constant c_i such that $\Pr(\alpha_i)\boldsymbol{x} = c_i\boldsymbol{u}_i$. Applying the orthogonality $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \ne j$ and the normalisation $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle = c^{-1}$ to the relation

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \Pr(\alpha_1)\boldsymbol{x} + \cdots + \Pr(\alpha_d)\boldsymbol{x},$$

we obtain $c_i = c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle$. □

The projection from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to the invariant plane $\mathcal{P}_i$ spanned by $\boldsymbol{u}_R^{(i)}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_I^{(i)}$ is given by

$$(\Pr(\alpha_i) + \Pr(\overline{\alpha}_i))\boldsymbol{x} = 2\Re c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_R^{(i)} - 2\Im c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_I^{(i)}.$$

Lemma 16. *If the projection of $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ to some invariant space $\mathcal{P}_i$ is zero, then $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 15, this lemma is almost trivial. We supply another proof.

Case 1. α_i is real. Note that, by (4),

$$\Pr(\alpha_i) = k_0 I + k_1 E_0(\sigma) + \cdots + k_{d-1} E_0(\sigma)^{d-1}$$

for some $k_0, \ldots, k_{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\Pr(\alpha_i)\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0}$ for some $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$. This is equivalent to

$$[\boldsymbol{x}\ E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{x}\ \ldots\ E_0(\sigma)^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x}]\boldsymbol{k} = \mathbf{0} \tag{5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k} = {}^t(k_0, \ldots, k_{d-1})$. By Proposition 9, the matrix in (5) is invertible if $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. As a consequence, we have $\boldsymbol{k} = \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $\Pr(\alpha_i)$ is a null operator. A contradiction. Thus $\boldsymbol{x}$ must be zero.

Case 2. α_i is a complex conjugate. Notice that $\mathcal{P}_i$ is spanned by $\boldsymbol{u}_R^{(i)}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_I^{(i)}$. Suppose that

$$(\Pr(\alpha_i) + \Pr(\overline{\alpha}_i))\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0} \tag{6}$$

for $\boldsymbol{x} = {}^t(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Q}^d$. Notice that

$$\Pr(\alpha_i) + \Pr(\overline{\alpha}_i) = k_0 I + k_1 E_0(\sigma) + \cdots + k_{d-1} E_0(\sigma)^{d-1}$$

for some $k_0, \ldots, k_{d-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then (6) in the matrix form is

$$[\boldsymbol{x}\ E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{x}\ \ldots\ E_0(\sigma)^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x}]\boldsymbol{k} = \mathbf{0} \tag{7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k} = {}^t(k_0, \ldots, k_{d-1})$. Assume $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Since, by Proposition 9,

$$\boldsymbol{x}, E_0(\sigma)\boldsymbol{x}, \ldots, E_0(\sigma)^{d-1}\boldsymbol{x}$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, the matrix in (7) is invertible. Hence $\boldsymbol{k} = \mathbf{0}$. This implies that $\Pr(\alpha_i) + \Pr(\overline{\alpha}_i)$ is a null operator. A contradiction. Thus $\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

□

Notice that Lemma 15 implies

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x} - c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_1\rangle \boldsymbol{u}_1 = (I - \Pr(\alpha_1))\boldsymbol{x} = (\Pr(\alpha_2) + \cdots + \Pr(\alpha_d))\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 17. *For $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have $G(\pi(\boldsymbol{x})) = (c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle, \ldots, c\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle)$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 15 and (8). □

Theorem 18. *Let $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^d$. Then $\pi(\mathbf{w}_1) = \pi(\mathbf{w}_2)$ if and only if $|\mathbf{w}_1|_i = |\mathbf{w}_2|_i$ for all $i \in \mathcal{A}$ where $|\mathbf{w}|_i$ denotes the i-th component of $\mathbf{w}$. In particular, let W_1, W_2 be finite words. Then $\pi(f(W_1)) = \pi(f(W_2))$ if and only if $|f(W_1)|_i = |f(W_2)|_i$ for all $i \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. If $\pi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$, then $\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0}$ by Lemma 16.

□

5. Partial order on Prefix automaton

In general, two admissible paths on the prefix automaton are hard to compare. However those with the same starting vertex are tractable.

Definition 5.1. Let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{A}^*$. The notation $p_1 \prec p_2$ will mean that p_1 is a prefix of p_2. Similarly $p_1 \preceq p_2$ will be used if and only if p_1 is a prefix of p_2 or p_2 itself.

Notice that if $\mathrm{Pref}(a, \sigma^k)$ denotes the set of prefixes for $\sigma^k(a)$, either $p_1 \preceq p_2$ or $p_1 \succeq p_2$ always holds for $p_1, p_2 \in \mathrm{Pref}(a, \sigma^k)$.

Lemma 19. *Suppose that two finite admissible paths*

$$(a_n : p_{n-1}), \dots, (a_1 : p_0) \text{ and } (a'_l : p'_{l-1}), \dots, (a'_1 : p'_0)$$

on the prefix automaton satisfy

(a) $a_n = a'_l$,
(b) $p_{n-1} = p'_{l-1}, \dots, p_{n-k+1} = p'_{l-k+1}$,
(c) $p_{n-k} \prec p'_{l-k}$.

for some $k < \min\{l, n\}$ (*If* $k = 1$, *condition* (b) *vanishes.*) *Then*

$$\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1}) \dots p_0 \prec \sigma^{n-1-(l-1)}(\sigma^{l-1}(p'_{l-1}) \dots p'_0) \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq l,$$

$$\sigma^{l-1-(n-1)}(\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1}) \dots p_0) \prec \sigma^{l-1}(p'_{l-1}) \dots p'_0 \quad \text{if} \quad n \leq l.$$

Proof. Notice that (b) implies that $a_{n-1} = a'_{l-1}, \dots, a_{n-k+1} = a'_{l-k+1}$. See

$$a_{n-k+1} \xrightarrow{p_{n-k}} a_{n-k} \xrightarrow{p_{l-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} a_0.$$

By construction $p_0 \prec \sigma(a_1)$. So $\sigma(p_1)p_0 \prec \sigma(p_1 a_1) \preceq \sigma^2(a_2)$. Repeating this, we obtain that $\sigma^{n-k}(p_{n-k})\sigma^{n-k-1}(p_{n-k-1}) \cdots p_0$ is a proper prefix of $\sigma^{n-k}(p_{n-k}a_{n-k})$ and of $\sigma^{n-k}(p'_{l-k})$ by (c). This complets the proof. □

Let Ω_n be all the admissible paths of length n in G starting with the vertex $(u_0 : \emptyset)$. It is easy to see that Ω_n can be embedded in Ω by adding $(u_0 : \emptyset)$ infinitely to each path in Ω_n. $\Omega_0 = \cup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$.

6. Rauzy fractals (unimodular case)

A substitution σ is *unimodular* if $\det E_0(\sigma) = \pm 1$. From §6 to §9 we restrict σ to be unimodular if not stated otherwise.

A compact subset of $\mathcal{P}$

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \overline{\left\{ \pi(f(u_0 u_1 \dots u_k)) \mid k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}}$$

is called the *Rauzy fractal* for σ. Set also the *subtiles*

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \overline{\left\{ \pi(f(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{k-1})) \mid u_k = a \text{ for some } k \right\}}$$

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a).$$

Rauzy fractals $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ can also be described by the prefix automaton, which originates in Rauzy [21].

Let Ω_0 be the set of the elements $((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}$ in Ω for which there exists $k_0 \geq 0$ so that $(a_{k+1} : p_k) = (u_0 : \emptyset)$ for all $k \geq k_0$. .

From Theorem 3 it immediately follows

$$\pi(f(u_0 \dots u_k)) = \sum_{n=0}^{l} E_0(\sigma)^n \pi(f(p_n)).$$

Let $\Phi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Phi(((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_0(\sigma)^n \pi(f(p_n)), \tag{9}$$

which is well-defined because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_0(\sigma)^n \pi(f(p_n))|$ is uniformly bounded. Obviously $\Phi(\Omega) \subset \overline{\Phi(\Omega_0)}$. Since $\Phi(\Omega)$ is closed and since the closure of $\Phi(\Omega_0)$ is the minimal closed set containing $\Phi(\Omega_0)$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \Phi(\Omega) = \Big\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_0(\sigma)^n \pi(f(p_n)) : (a_{n+1} : p_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \Omega \Big\}.$$

Similarly $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \Phi(\Omega(a))$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

7. Rauzy fractals as Minkowski embedding

It is convenient to interpolate an intermediate description of Rauzy fractals for the unimodular case at this point. This description will make it easier to understand the formulation for the general case. See also Thurston[25].

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the number field. If we denote the canonical morphism from $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$ as $x(\alpha) \mapsto x(\alpha_i)$, then the *Minkowski embedding* $\Phi_\infty : K \to \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$ is defined by

$$x(\alpha) \mapsto (x(\alpha_1), \dots, x(\alpha_r), x(\alpha_{r+1}), \dots, x(\alpha_{r+s})).$$

Put $K'_\infty = \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{C}^s$. A distance on K'_∞ can be introduced by, for instance,

$$\max_{2\leq k\leq r+s} \{d_k(x_k, y_k)\}$$

for $x = (x_2, \dots, x_{r+s}), y = (y_2, \dots, y_{r+s}) \in K'_\infty$ where $d_k(x_k, y_k)$ is the distance in $\mathbb{R}$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$ and in $\mathbb{C}$ for $r+1 \leq k \leq r+s$.

Let $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s \to K'_\infty$ be the projection defined by

$$\pi_2(x_1, \dots, x_{r+s}) = (x_2, \dots, x_{r+s}).$$

In view of §4 we have

$$G\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_0(\sigma)^k \pi(f(p_k))\Big) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(c\alpha^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle).$$

Thus it is possible to define Rauzy fractals as follows. Let $\Phi : \Omega \to K'_\infty$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Phi(((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}) = (c\xi(\alpha_2), \dots, c\xi(\alpha_d))$$

where $\xi(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle$ is a formal power series for $((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}$ and α. We emphasize that $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)^d$ as shown in the proof of Lemma 8. Define the *Rauzy fractal* for σ by

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \Phi(\Omega)$$

and its *subtiles* as $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \Phi(\Omega(a))$.

Note that Rauzy fractals are unique up to a scale where the scaling constant c is determined by how the normalization $\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle = c^{-1}$ is taken. *For the rest of this paper, we adopt such a normalization that* $c = 1$ *and all* $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}(\alpha)$. This normalization will be helpful especially in the non-unimodular case because any component of $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ belongs to the ring of integers in each completion of K.

The action $E_0(\sigma)$ on $\mathcal{P}$ transfers to the "diagonal embedding" from K'_∞ to itself

$$(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{r+s}) \mapsto (\alpha_2\xi_2, \dots, \alpha_{r+s}\xi_{r+s}).$$

Conventionally this embedding is expressed as the multiplication by α in K'_∞. For example, if we put $\phi = \Phi \circ \Xi : X_\sigma \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$, then $\phi(\sigma(w)) = \alpha\phi(w)$. Furthermore $\phi(Sw) = \phi(w) + \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle f(w_0), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$.

Each subtile satisfies the set equation

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{b\in\mathcal{A}, \sigma^m(b)=pas} \alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma, \quad \gamma = \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle) \tag{10}$$

for all $m \geq 1$, where the union members are disjoint in measure.

The Haar measure (Lebesgue measure) on $\mathcal{P}$ transfers to the product measure μ in K'_∞ of $r-1$ one-dimensional Lebesgue measures on $\mathbb{R}$ and s two-dimensional Lebesgue measures on $\mathbb{C}$. For any measurable set B in K'_∞

$$\mu(\alpha B) = |\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_d| \mu(B) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\mu(B),$$

since $|\det E_0(\sigma)| = |\alpha_1\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_d| = 1$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \overline{\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)}$.

Proposition 20. *There exist* $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *so that for any* $a \in \mathcal{A}$ *and for any Borel set* B *in* $\Xi([a])$,

$$C_1\mu(\Phi(B)) \leq m(B) \leq C_2\mu(\Phi(B)). \tag{11}$$

Proof. Since $\phi : [a] \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ is injective a.e. ([7] or [22] for the general case), so is $\Phi : \Xi([a]) \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ by Theorem 4. In particular, if B_1 and B_2 are disjoint in $\Xi([a])$, so are $\Phi(B_1)$ and $\Phi(B_2)$ up to null sets.

It is easy to see that one can find such constants C_1, C_2 that (11) holds for any cylinder in Ω because

$$m(\langle e_k \cdots e_1 e_0 \rangle) = \frac{\xi_0 \eta_k}{\alpha^k}$$

and

$$\mu(\Phi(\langle e_k \cdots e_1 e_0 \rangle)) = \mu(\phi(S^n \sigma^{k+1}[b_{k+1}])) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{k+1}} \mu(\phi([b_{k+1}]).$$

Observe that $\Xi([a])$ is the set of paths $(e_k)_{k\geq 0}$ with $e_0 = (* : *, a, *)$. All the cylinders $\langle e_k \cdots e_1 e_0 \rangle$ with $e_0 = (* : *, a, *)$ form a semi-algebra of $\Xi([a])$. Since all the Borel sets in $\Xi([a])$ which satisfy (11) are generated by this semi-algebra (the monotone-class argument), the proof completes. □

Theorem 18 is rephrased as follows.

Corollary 21. *Let $\boldsymbol{w}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_2$ be vectors in $\mathbb{Q}^d$. Then*

$$\pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle \boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle) = \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle \boldsymbol{w}_2, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle)$$

if and only if $|\boldsymbol{w}_1|_a = |\boldsymbol{w}_2|_a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. See Lemma 17. □

Lemma 22. *For each $n > 0$, the mapping $\Phi : \Omega_n \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ is injective.*

Proof. For $e = ((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega_n$, $p_k = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq n$. Then

$$\Phi(e) = \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle f(\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1}) \cdots p_0), \boldsymbol{v} \rangle). \tag{12}$$

Lemma 19 implies that different paths have different number of letters. Combined with Corollary 21, the result follows. □

8. Uniform-distribution type result on Rauzy fractals

Fix $(a : p) \in V$ (or $(a : p, b, s)$). Denote by $\Omega_n(a : p)$ (or $\Omega_n(a : p, b, s)$) all the admissible paths of length n in G, each of which starts with $(u_0 : \emptyset)$ and ends with $(a : p)$.

Put $I = (u_0 : \emptyset)$ and $J = (a : p)$. By (2)

$$\#\Omega_n(a : p) = a_{IJ}^{(n)} = \alpha^n [\boldsymbol{u}]_I [\boldsymbol{v}]_J + O(|\alpha_2|^n) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Since $[\boldsymbol{v}]_J$ is the same for all $p \in \mathrm{Pref}(a)$, the result does not depend on the choice of $p \in \mathrm{Pref}(a)$.

Given $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let $V_a = \{(b : p, a, s) \in V : b \in \mathcal{A}, p \in \mathrm{Pref}(b)\}$. Denote by $\Omega_n(a)$ the set of all the admissible paths of length n in G which start with

$(u_0 : \emptyset)$ and end with a vertex in V_a. In other words, $\Omega_n(a)$ is the set of all the admissible paths of length n on the prefix automaton, each of which starts from the vertex u_0 and ends with the vertex a.

Theorem 23. *There exist $C_3 > 0$ and $C_4 > 0$ so that for any open ball $\mathcal{O}$ in K'_∞ with $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}) > 0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$C_3 \frac{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))} \leq \frac{\#(\Phi(\Omega_n(a)) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\#\Omega_n(a)} \leq C_4 \frac{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))}$$

for sufficiently large n.

Proof. Put

$$\mathcal{O} = \prod_{k=2}^{r+s} B(x_k, r_k), \quad x = (x_2, \dots, x_{r+s}) \in K'_\infty$$

where $B(x_k, r_k)$ is the ball of radius r_k centered at x_k in $\mathbb{R}$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$ and in $\mathbb{C}$ for $r+1 \leq k \leq r+s$.

By the set equation (10) for $m \geq 1$, one can take the union over such members $(b, p) \in \Lambda_1$ as

$$\alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset, \quad \gamma = \pi_2 \circ \Phi_\infty(\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v} \rangle)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O} \subset \bigcup_{(b,p) \in \Lambda_1, \sigma^m(b) = pas} \alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma.$$

On the other hand, take the union over those submembers of Λ_1 which are included in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}$:

$$\bigcup_{(b,p) \in \Lambda_2} \alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}.$$

Lemma 22 implies a decomposition

$$\Phi(\Omega_n(a)) = \bigsqcup_\gamma \alpha^m \Phi(\Omega_{n-m}(b)) + \gamma$$

where γ corresponds to an admissible path of length m on the prefix automaton starting with the vertex b and ending with the vertex a: schematically

$$u_0 \xrightarrow{\emptyset} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_{m+1}} b_{m+1} \xrightarrow{p_m} \underbrace{b \xrightarrow{p_{m-1}} b_{m-1} \xrightarrow{p_{m-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_1} b_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} a}_{\gamma}$$

$(p = \sigma^{m-1}(p_{m-1}) \cdots p_0)$.

Let Λ'_1 be the set of $(b, p), \sigma^m(b) = pas$, for which $\alpha^m \Phi(\Omega_{n-m}(b)) + \gamma \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\alpha^m \Phi(\Omega_{n-m}(b)) + \gamma \subset \alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma$, it follows that $\Lambda'_1 \subset \Lambda_1$.

Similarly let Λ_2' be the set of (b,p) for which $\alpha^m\Phi(\Omega_{n-m}(b))+\gamma\subset\mathcal{O}\neq\emptyset$. Then $\Lambda_2\subset\Lambda_2'$. Since $\#\alpha^m\Phi(\Omega_{n-m}(b))+\gamma=\#\Omega_{n-m}(b)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_2}\#\Omega_{n-m}(b)\le\#(\Phi(\Omega_n(a))\cap\mathcal{O})\le\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_1}\#\Omega_{n-m}(b). \tag{13}$$

Put $\mathcal{R}(b:p)=\alpha^m\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ for $(b,p)\in\Lambda_1$. Let $D_{\max}$ be the maximum of the diameters of $\mathcal{R}(b:p)$ for $(b,p)\in\Lambda_1$. Observe that $D_{\max}=O(|\alpha_2|^m)$ $(m\to\infty)$.

Take a "tubular neighbourhood" of $\partial\mathcal{O}$

$$[\partial\mathcal{O}]=\bigcup_{k=2}^{r+s}\prod_{i=2}^{k-1}\overline{B(x_i,r_i+D_{\max})}\times[\partial B(x_k,r_k)]\times\prod_{i=k+1}^{r+s}\overline{B(x_i,r_i+D_{\max})}$$

where $[\partial B(x_k,r_k)]=\overline{B(x_k,r_k+D_{\max})}\backslash B(x_k,r_k-D_{\max})$. Observe that $\mu([\partial\mathcal{O}])$ can be arbitrarily small as m goes to infinity.

By construction, all the members $\mathcal{R}(b:p)$ for $(b,p)\in\Lambda_1\backslash\Lambda_2$ are contained in $[\partial\mathcal{O}]$. Since $\mu(\mathcal{R}(b:p))=\alpha^{-m}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_2}\alpha^{-m}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))\le\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)\cap\mathcal{O})&\le\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_1}\alpha^{-m}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))\\&\le\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_2}\alpha^{-m}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))+\mu([\partial\mathcal{O}]).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since $(\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)))_{b\in\mathcal{A}}$ is an eigenvector of $E_0(\sigma)$ for α ([2]), it follows by Perron-Frobenius theorem that there exists a constant $C>0$ with $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))=Cu(b)=C[\boldsymbol{u}]_J$ for $b\in\mathcal{A}$ and $J=(b:p)$.

Let $\delta_1=\max\{\#V_a:a\in\mathcal{A}\}$ and $\delta_2=\min\{\#V_a:a\in\mathcal{A}\}$. Observe that $\delta_2>0$.

If $n-m$ is taken sufficiently large, then for $i=1,2$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_i}\#\Omega_{n-m}(b)&=\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_i}\#V_b\Big[\alpha^{n-m}[\boldsymbol{u}]_I[\boldsymbol{v}]_J+O(|\alpha_2|^{n-m})\Big]\\&\lessgtr C^{-1}\delta_i\alpha^n[\boldsymbol{u}]_I\sum_{(b,p)\in\Lambda_i}\Big[\alpha^{-m}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))\frac{[\boldsymbol{v}]_J+\epsilon_i}{[\boldsymbol{u}]_J}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with $J=(b:p_{m-1})$ if $p=\sigma^{m-1}(p_{m-1})\cdots p_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\#\Omega_n(a)&=\#V_a\Big[C^{-1}\alpha^n[\boldsymbol{u}]_I\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))\frac{[\boldsymbol{v}]_J}{[\boldsymbol{u}]_J}+O(|\alpha_2|^n)\Big]\\&\lessgtr C^{-1}\delta_i\alpha^n[\boldsymbol{u}]_I\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))\frac{[\boldsymbol{v}]_J+\epsilon_i}{[\boldsymbol{u}]_J}\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\epsilon_1=[\boldsymbol{v}]_J$ for $<$ and $\epsilon_2=-\frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{v}]_J$ for $>$.

Put

$$c_1 = \max_{J \in V} \Big\{ \frac{[\boldsymbol{v}]_J}{[\boldsymbol{u}]_J} \Big\}, \quad c_2 = \min_{J \in V} \Big\{ \frac{[\boldsymbol{v}]_J}{[\boldsymbol{u}]_J} \Big\}.$$

If m is taken so large that $\mu([\partial\mathcal{O}]) \le 2^{-1}\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})$, then (13), (14),(15) and (16) yield

$$\frac{\#(\Phi(\Omega_n(a)) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\#\Omega_n(a)} \le \frac{2c_1\delta_1(\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}) + \mu([\partial\mathcal{O}]))}{2^{-1}c_2\delta_2\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))} \le \frac{3c_1\delta_1\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})}{2^{-1}c_2\delta_2\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))}.$$

Similarly the left-hand side of the inequality of the theorem holds with $C_3 = \frac{3}{4}c_1^{-1}\delta_1^{-1}c_2\delta_2$.

□

9. Tiling results (unimodular case)

Define the *translation set* Γ for σ by

$$\Gamma = \Big\{ (G(\pi(\boldsymbol{x})), i) \in \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} : \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle \ge 0, \langle \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle < 0 \Big\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) + \gamma : \gamma = G(\pi(\boldsymbol{q})), (\gamma, a) \in \Gamma \}.$$

Theorem 24 (Ito-Rao[14],Barge-Kwapisz[5])**.** *Let σ be a unimodular, irreducible Pisot substitution. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a multi-tiling of K'_∞, i.e. there exists an integer $l \ge 1$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ provides an almost-everywhere l-to-1 cover of K'_∞.*

In this section, we will prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is indeed a *tiling* of K'_∞ ($l = 1$).

9.1. **Exclusion Principle.**

Set the cylinder set in Ω

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ (e_k)_{k \ge 0} \in \Omega : e_0 = \cdots = e_L = (u_0 : \emptyset) \}.$$

The integer L will be specified later. Since (Ω, S, m) is ergodic, by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem there exists $\Omega_\infty \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(\Omega_\infty) = 1$ so that for every point $\omega \in \Omega_\infty$ there exist infinitely many N's for which $S^N\omega \in \mathcal{E}$. This motivates the following trick named the *exclusion principle*.

For $e = ((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k \ge 0} \in \Omega_N$,

$$\Phi(e) = \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_2^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{r+s}^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s} \rangle \Big). \tag{17}$$

Then there exists a polynomial F_e of degree at most $N + d - 2$ with integer coefficients so that

$$\Phi(e) = (F_e(\alpha_2), \dots, F_e(\alpha_{r+s})).$$

Consider translations of a subtile $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ with $\gamma = G(\pi(\boldsymbol{q}))$. Since we are concerned about how a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ is paved by $\mathcal{T}$, we may assume finite variation $\mathcal{D}$ of $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{D}$.

For $x = ((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega(b)$, suppose that $S^N x \in \mathcal{E}$. Associated with

$$\Big(\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_2^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle, \ldots, \langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{r+s}^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle\Big), \tag{18}$$

there exists a polynomial $F_\gamma(x)$ of degree at most $N+d-2$ with integer coefficients so that

$$\Phi(x)+\gamma = (F_\gamma(\alpha_2), \ldots, F_\gamma(\alpha_{r+s})) + \cdots \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma.$$

Observe that the polynomial $F_\gamma(x) - F_e(x)$ has a degree at most $N+d-2$ with integer coefficients and a bounded height M which can be taken independently of N and the choice of $((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}$ and $((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0}$ (see Appendix E).

Set $\Delta = |\det E_0(\sigma)|^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$ (this notation will have a meaning for the general case). Define

$$R_i = R_i(N) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|\alpha_i|)^{N+d-2}\Big(\frac{1-\alpha^{-1}}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq r, \\ \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|\alpha_i|)^{\frac{N+d-2}{2}}\Big(\frac{1-\alpha^{-1}}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} & \text{if } r+1 \leq i \leq r+s \end{cases}$$

and

$$U(\Phi(e), N) = \prod_{i=2}^{r+s} B(F_e(\alpha_i), R_i).$$

Lemma 25. *Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 > 1$ be a Pisot number. Let $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_d$ denote the conjugates of α. If $F(x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and height M of degree at most k for which $F(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\Big|\prod_{i=2}^{d} F(\alpha_i)\Big| \geq \frac{(1-\alpha^{-1})}{\alpha^k M}.$$

Proof. (cf. [11]) Use $|F(\alpha)F(\alpha_2)\ldots F(\alpha_d)| \geq 1$, $|F(\alpha)| \leq \alpha^k \frac{M}{1-\alpha^{-1}}$ and $|F(\alpha_i)| \leq \frac{M}{1-|\alpha_i|}$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$. □

Suppose further that (18) does not coincide with (17). Lemma 25 shows that

$$(F_\gamma(\alpha_2), \ldots, F_\gamma(\alpha_{r+s})) \notin \prod_{i=2}^{r+s} B(F_e(\alpha_i), 2R_i)$$

(make sure that we doubly count for $r+1 \le i \le r+s$ in applying Lemma 25). So there exists at least one i so that $|F_\gamma(\alpha_i) - F_e(\alpha_i)| \ge 2R_i$. Choose L large enough to satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2}|\alpha_i|^{d-2}\Big(\frac{1-\alpha^{-1}}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} > |\alpha_i|^L \frac{\max\{|\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle| : p \in \mathrm{Pref}\}}{(1-|\alpha_i|)} \tag{19}$$

for $2 \le i \le r+s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle \\ = F_\gamma(\alpha_i) + \alpha_i^{N+L} \sum_{k \ge N+L} \alpha_i^{k-N-L} \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle \notin B(F_e(\alpha_i), R_i)\end{aligned}$$

since $R_i > |\alpha_i^{N+L} \sum_{k\ge N+L} \alpha_i^{k-N-L}\langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle|$ by the choice of L. So

$$\Phi(x) + \gamma \notin U(\Phi(e), N).$$

In particular, $U(\Phi(e), N) \cap U(\Phi(e'), N) = \emptyset$ for $\Phi(e) \ne \Phi(e')$ since $B(F_\gamma(\alpha_i), R_i) \cap B(F_e(\alpha_i), R_i) = \emptyset$ ($\gamma = \mathbf{0}$ case).

Moreover if (18) never coincides with any member of $\Phi(\Omega_N) \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ for some open set $\mathcal{O}_2$, then

$$(\Phi(\mathcal{C}) + \gamma) \cap \bigcup_{e \in \Omega_N, \Phi(e) \in \mathcal{O}_2} U(\Phi(e), N) = \emptyset$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the cylinder set in Ω

$$\mathcal{C} = [\underbrace{(u_0 : \emptyset) \cdots (u_0 : \emptyset)}_{L+1 \text{ strings}} (a'_N : p'_{N-1}) \cdots (a'_1 : p'_0)].$$

9.2. **Ergodicity and exclusion principle.** Observe that if $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_{N_0})$, then $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N)$ for all $N \ge N_0$ since $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$.

Lemma 26. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ share an open set $\mathcal{O}_1$ and there exists $N_0 > 0$ so that $U(\Phi(e), N) \subset (\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma) \cap \mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ for all $N \ge N_0$ and all $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$. If $\Phi(\Omega_{N_0}(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ also satisfies Theorem 23, then there exist $N \ge N_0$,$L_0 > 0$, $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $n(S^N x) \le L_0$, where $\Phi(\mathcal{B}) + \gamma = U(\Phi(e), N + L_0)$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Then to each $N \ge N_0$, each $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ and each L_0, one can associate a measurable set $\mathcal{B}(N, L_0, \Phi(e))$ in Ω so that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}(N, L_0, \Phi(e))) + \gamma = U(\Phi(e), N + L_0)$$

with the property that $n(S^N x) > L_0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}(N, L_0, \Phi(e))$.

Take a small open ball B in $\mathcal{O}_1$ with $\overline{B} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$. For $N_\infty \geq N_0$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_{N_\infty} = \bigcup_{\Phi(e_\infty) \in \Phi(\Omega_{N_\infty}(a)) \cap B} U(\Phi(e_\infty), N_\infty).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{U}_{N_\infty}$ may not be included in B, but in $\mathcal{O}_1$ for sufficiently large N_∞.

We claim that there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ independent of N_∞ and L_0 so that

$$\mu(\mathcal{U}_{N_\infty}) \geq C_5. \tag{20}$$

To see this,

$$\#\big(\Phi(\Omega_{N_\infty}(a)) \cap B\big) \geq C_6 \alpha^{N_\infty} \tag{21}$$

by Theorem 23 for some costant $C_6 > 0$. Observe that

$$\mu(U(\Phi(e), n)) = \pi^s \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1}}{2^{2s} M \alpha^{n+d-2}} \tag{22}$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Then (21) and (22) establish the claim.

Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be a measurable subset in Ω with

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}_0) + \gamma = \mathcal{U}_{N_\infty}.$$

Then by Proposition 20 and (20) it follows that $m(\mathcal{B}_0) \geq C_7$ for some constant $C_7 > 0$.

Lemma 7 shows that if L_0 is chosen so large that

$$m(\{x \in \Omega : n(x) \geq L_0\}) < C_7,$$

then

$$m(S^{-N_\infty}\{x \in \Omega : n(x) \geq L_0\}) = m(\{x \in \Omega : n(S^{N_\infty} x) \geq L_0\}) < C_7.$$

This contradicts that every point x in $\mathcal{B}_0$ satisfies $n(S^{N_\infty} x) > L_0$. □

Notice that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma = \Phi(\Omega(b)) + \gamma$.

Theorem 27. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open set in K'_∞ and let $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma \in \mathcal{T}$. For all $x \in \Omega(b) \cap \Omega_\infty$ assume that every time $S^N x \in \mathcal{E}$, the partial sum of the first N terms of $\Phi(x) + \gamma$ does not coincide with any member of $\Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}$. Then $\big(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma\big) \cap \big(\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}\big) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ share some open set $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}$. Take $\mathcal{O}_1$ smaller, if necessary, to satisfy the assumption of Lemma 26 (remember that every $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$ is interior-dense). Then there exist N,L_0, $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}$ where $\Phi(\mathcal{B})+\gamma = U(\Phi(e), N+L_0)$ with the property that the orbit of x returns to $\mathcal{E}$ between time N and time $N + L_0$. The exclusion principle shows, however, that $\Phi(x) + \gamma \notin U(\Phi(e), N + L_0)$. A contradiction. □

9.3. **Tilings.** We begin with the most primitive tiling result.

Theorem 28. *The subtiles $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ are disjoint in measure.*

Note that formerly this theorem was proved under the assumption of the strong coincidence condition ([2],[22]).

Proof. Suppose that $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)) > 0$. Then there exists an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$ since $\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)) = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Applying Theorem 27 to $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$ with $\gamma = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathcal{O}$, it follows that there exist $((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega(b)$ and $N > 0$ so that the partial sum of the first N terms of $\Phi(((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0})$ coincides with some $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}$.

Put $e = ((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle \quad (2 \leq i \leq r+s).$$

By Corollary 21 and Lemma 22, this amounts to

$$f(\sigma^{N-1}(p'_{N-1})\sigma^{N-2}(p'_{N-2})\cdots p'_0) = f(\sigma^{N-1}(p_{N-1})\sigma^{N-2}(p_{N-2})\cdots p_0). \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, each of the both sides of (23) corresponds to an admissible path of length N on the prefix automaton starting with the same vertex u_0. Lemma 19 shows that different paths with the same starting vertex have different number of letters. Hence (23) shows that there is the only one path with the starting vertex u_0 and, of course, the same ending vertex. Consequently $a = b$. □

Theorem 29. *$\mathcal{T}$ is a tiling of K'_∞.*

Proof of Theorem 29. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a multi-tiling of K'_∞, Theorem 27 shows that there must exist $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma$, $((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0}$ and $n > 0$ so that the partial sum of the first n terms of $\Phi(((a'_{k+1} : p'_k))_{k\geq 0}) + \gamma$ coincides with some $\Phi(e)$ for $e \in \Omega_n(a)$:

$$\Big(\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_2^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle, \ldots, \langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{r+s}^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle\Big)$$

$$= \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_2^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}_2\rangle, \ldots, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{r+s}^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}_{r+s}\rangle\Big).$$

In view of Lemma 17 and Proposition 18, the problem reduces to ask if two different paths with the same starting vertex u_0 can be compensated by $\boldsymbol{q}$:

$$f(\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\cdots p_0) = f(\sigma^{n-1}(p'_{n-1})\cdots p'_0) + \boldsymbol{q}.$$

If $\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\cdots p_0 \prec \sigma^{n-1}(p'_{n-1})\cdots p'_0$, then $\boldsymbol{q}$ must be non-positive ($q_j \leq 0$ for all j) to cancel the redundance. However, the non-positive of $\boldsymbol{q}$ contradcts to the condition $\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle \geq 0$ except $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}$. Thus we may assume that $\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\cdots p_0 \succeq \sigma^{n-1}(p'_{n-1})\cdots p'_0$.

If $\boldsymbol{q} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ is non-negative ($q_j \geq 0$ for all j), then $q_a = 0$ in order to fulfill the condition $\langle \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{e}_a, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle < 0$. This means that the letter a is not supplied by $\boldsymbol{q}$, which contradicts to the fact that

$$\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\cdots p_0 = \underbrace{\sigma^{n-1}(p'_{n-1})\cdots p'_0}_{\text{prefix}}\, as \tag{24}$$

where s is a suffix.

The remaining case is that $\boldsymbol{q}(\neq \boldsymbol{0})$ is a mixture of positive and negative components or $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}$. The existence of a negative component $q_i < 0$ makes (24) fail to hold because $q_i < 0$ cancels out indispensable letters i in the prefix part. Consequently only $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}$ ($\gamma = \boldsymbol{0}$) is allowed to cover the interior of $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$.

Combined with Theorem 28, we conclude that $\mathcal{T}$ singly covers K'_∞. □

Remark 9.1. Theorem A for the unimodular case has already been established at this point since, in this case, $\mathcal{T}$ is a tiling if and only if the substitution dynamical system (X_σ, S, ν) has discrete spectrum (a compilation of results in [14] and [5]).

10. Rauzy fractals (general case)

Rauzy fractals as Minkowski embedding can be seen as a geometric representation of the closure of a subset of the ring of integers $\mathfrak{O}_K$ in the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ([20],[25]). We follow the exposition of [16],[22] and [23] for the general case.

Let (α) be the principal ideal generated by α. By the prime ideal factorization, it follows that

$$(\alpha) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\nu_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\nu_2}\ldots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\nu_k} \quad (\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{25}$$

Define the absolute norm of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ by $q = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})$ and the corresponding valuation v by

$$|x|_v = \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)} \quad (x \in K^\times, |0|_v = 0).$$

We also use the notation $|x|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for this $|x|_v$. The completion of K at $\mathfrak{p}$ is denoted by $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Since $\mathfrak{N}((\alpha)) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = |c_d| = |\det E_0(\sigma)|$, the prime ideal factorization (25) gives the prime factorization of $|\det E_0(\sigma)|$:

$$|\det E_0(\sigma)| = q_1^{\nu_1}q_2^{\nu_2}\ldots q_k^{\nu_k} \tag{26}$$

where $q_i = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ and each q_i is a power of some prime.

A *prime* of K is an equivalent class of valuations. To each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, each real embedding and each conjugate pair of complex embeddings, there corresponds exactly one prime v, and vice versa.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_\infty$ is the set of real embeddings and conjugate pairs of complex embeddings of K. Denote the completion of K with respect to $v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty$ by K_v. If $v : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real embedding, set $|x|_v = |v(x)|$. If $v : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a complex embedding, set $|x|_v = |v(x)|^2$ (strictly speaking, this is not a valuation. Nevertheless we call it a prime).

Then the *product formula*

$$\prod_v |x|_v = 1 \qquad (x \in K^\times)$$

holds, where the product is taken over all the primes v of K or equivalently over all $v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty$ and all the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{O}_K$.

Define the adéle subrings by

$$K_\infty = \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty} K_v = \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s, \quad K_\alpha = K_\infty \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)} K_\mathfrak{p}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)$ means that the product is taken over prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $(\alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Let v_1 be the valuation for which $|\alpha|_{v_1} = \alpha$. Put

$$K_\sigma = \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty \setminus \{v_1\}} K_v \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)} K_\mathfrak{p} = K'_\infty \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)} K_\mathfrak{p}.$$

In view of (25), the prime ideals satisfying $\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)$ are $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$. Thus

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)} K_\mathfrak{p} = \prod_{i=1}^k K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}.$$

We call this product the non-archimedean part.

Observe that $E_0(\sigma)$ is unimodular if and only if $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{O}_K$. Hence, in the unimodular case, there are neither the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ so that $\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)$, nor the non-archimedean part in K_σ: $K_\sigma = K'_\infty$. This explains how the unimodular case is included in the general setting.

Write the projection from K_σ to $K_\mathfrak{p}$ by $\pi_\mathfrak{p}$. A distance d_K on K_σ can be introduced, for instance, by

$$d_K(x, y) = \max\left\{ d_k(x_k, y_k), d_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathfrak{p}, y_\mathfrak{p}) : 2 \le k \le r + s, \mathfrak{p}|(\alpha) \right\}$$

for $x, y \in K_\sigma$ with $x_\mathfrak{p} = \pi_\mathfrak{p}(x)$ where $d_k(x_k, y_k)$ is the same distance as in the unimodular case, and $d_\mathfrak{p}$ is a metric on $K_\mathfrak{p}$ defined by $d_\mathfrak{p}(x, y) = |x - y|_\mathfrak{p}$.

The Haar measure on $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(x+\mathfrak{p}_i^n\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) = \Big(\frac{1}{q_i}\Big)^n$$

where $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is the ring of integers in $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Note that $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a discrete valuation ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$.

Let μ_∞ be the Haar (Lebesgue) measure on K'_∞. The Haar measure μ on K_σ is the product measure defined by $\mu_\infty \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$.

Define $\Phi : \Omega \to K_\sigma$ by

$$\Phi((a_{k+1} : p_k)_{k\geq 0}) = (x(\alpha_2), \ldots, x(\alpha_r), x(\alpha_{r+1}), \ldots, x(\alpha_{r+s}), x(\alpha), \ldots, x(\alpha))$$

where $x(\xi) = \sum_{i\geq 0}\langle f(p_i), \boldsymbol{v}(\xi)\rangle\xi^i$ is well-defined in each completion of K.

Definition 10.1. The image

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \Phi(\Omega)$$

is called the *Rauzy fractal* for σ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \Phi(\Omega(a))$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ its *subtiles*.

Let $\pi_2 : K_\alpha \to K_\sigma$ be the canonical projection. Define $\Phi_K : K \to K_\alpha$ by $\Phi_K(x) = (\Phi_\infty(x), x, \ldots, x)$ and "diagonal embedding" $\Phi'_K : K \to K_\sigma$ by $\Phi'_K = \pi_2 \circ \Phi_K$. The action of K on K_σ is interpreted as

$$\beta\Phi'_K(\xi) = (\beta_2\xi_2, \ldots, \beta_{r+s}\xi_{r+s}, \beta\xi, \ldots, \beta\xi) = \Phi'_K(\beta\xi) \quad (\beta \in K).$$

Define $T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1} : K_\alpha \times \mathcal{A} \to 2^{K_\alpha\times\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-1}(y,a) = \bigcup_{(b:p,a,s)\in V} \{(\alpha^{-1}(y + \Phi_K(\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle))), b)\}$$

(T_{ext}^{-1} corresponds to the dual substitution σ^* in [14].) In particular

$$T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0,a) = \bigcup_{(b_n:p_{n-1},a_{n-1},s_{n-1}),\ldots,(b_1:p_0,a,s)} \{(\alpha^{-n}\Phi_K(\langle f(\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\sigma^{n-2}(p_{n-2})\ldots p_0), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle), b_n)\} \tag{27}$$

where the sum is taken over all the admissible paths of length n on the prefix automaton which end at the vertex a.

It is easy to verify that each $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ satisfies the set equation

$$\alpha^{-m}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{(y,b)\in T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-m}(0,a), \alpha^{-m}\gamma=\pi_2(y)} \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \alpha^{-m}\gamma \tag{28}$$

for all $m \geq 1$, where the union is disjoint in measure. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, one also has $\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \overline{\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)}$.

By the uniqueness of solution of the set equation, Definition 10.1 coincides with the one in §4.4 of [16].

Lemma 30. $\mathrm{Pref}(u_0, \sigma^n)$ *for all* $n \geq 1$ *provide a dense subset of* $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$.

Proof. (cf. §6). Set $\sigma(u_0) = u_0 s_0$. Take $e = ((b_{k+1} : p_k, a_k, s_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega$ so that

$$(b_{k+1} : p_k, a_k, s_k) = (u_0 : \emptyset, u_0, s_0) \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

Then $\Phi(e) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma(u_0)$.

For any $\alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \Phi'_K(\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle) \subset \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$, one can find m' and p' so that

$$\alpha^m\big(\alpha^{m'}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(u_0) + \Phi'_K(\langle f(p'), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)\big) + \Phi'_K(\langle f(p), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle), \quad u_0 \xrightarrow{p'} b, b \xrightarrow{p} a.$$

Since $\sigma^m(p')p$ is a prefix of $\sigma^{m+m'}(u_0)$, the proof is complete. □

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 22.

Lemma 31. *For each $n > 0$, the mapping $\Phi : \Omega_n \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ is injective.*

Proof. For $e \in \Omega_n$, the archimedean part of $\Phi(e)$ is given by the right-hand side of (12). Then the lemma is proved the same way as Lemma 22. □

11. Uniform-distribution type result (general case)

Theorem 32. *There exist $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ so that for any open ball $\mathcal{O}$ in K_σ with $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}) > 0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$C_1 \frac{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))} \leq \frac{\#(\Phi(\Omega_n(a)) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\#\Omega_n(a)} \leq C_2 \frac{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O})}{\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a))}$$

for sufficiently large n.

Since the reasoning of proof is almost the same as Theorem 23, we only make an outline of the proof.

An open ball in K_σ takes the form

$$\mathcal{O} = \prod_{k=2}^{r+s} B(x_k, r_k) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} B(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{p}), \quad x = (x_2, \dots, x_{r+s}) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \in K_\sigma$$

where $B(x_k, r_k)$ is the same as in Theorem 23 for $2 \leq k \leq r+s$, and

$$B(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) = x_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \{y \in K_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : d_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, y) \leq q_i^{-n_i\nu_i}\}$$

is compact and open for $x_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \in K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 33. *If $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma) \cap B(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\alpha^m \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b) + \gamma) \subset B(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$$

for sufficiently large m.

Proof. Observe that $\gamma = \Phi'_K(\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$ for some $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then there exists $((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k\geq 0} \in \Omega$ so that

$$\alpha^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle \in x_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}.$$

Since $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\alpha^m(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)-\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)))\subset \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ for sufficiently large m, the result follows. □

Outline of Proof of Theorem 32. (a) By th set equation (28), decompose $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)\cap\mathcal{O}$.

(b) Lemma 33 shows that whether $\alpha^m\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ is partially included in $\mathcal{O}$ or not is determined by its archimedean part alone. Accordingly define a "tubular neighbourhood" by

$$[\partial\mathcal{O}]_\sigma=[\partial\mathcal{O}]\times\prod_{i=0}^{k}B(x_{\mathfrak{p}_i},\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}),$$

which contains the members $\alpha^m\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ that are partially included in $\mathcal{O}$. Notice that $\mu([\partial\mathcal{O}]_\sigma)=\mu_\infty([\partial\mathcal{O}])\times\prod_{i=1}^{k}q_i^{-n_i}\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$.

(c) Use Lemma 31 instead of Lemma 22 to decompose $\Phi(\Omega_N(a))$.

(d) There exists $C>0$ so that $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b))=Cu(b)=C[\boldsymbol{u}]_J$ for $b\in\mathcal{A}$ and $J=(b:p)$ ([16]).

(e) The rest of the proof is exactly the same as Theorem 23.

□

12. Tiling results (general case)

Observe that

$$\Phi'_K(\langle\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle)=(G(\pi(\boldsymbol{x})),\langle\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle,\dots,\langle\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$$

for $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{Q}^d$. Put $Z=\cup_{n\geq 0}M_\sigma^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d$ ($\mathbb{Z}$-module). Define the *translation set* by

$$\Gamma=\{(\Phi'_K(\langle\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle),a)\in K_\sigma\times\mathcal{A}:\boldsymbol{x}\in Z,\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{H}^{\geq}\text{ and }\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{e}_a\in\mathbb{H}^{<}\}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{T}=\{\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)+\gamma:(\gamma,a)\in\Gamma\}.$$

Theorem 34 (Minervino-Thuswaldner[16])**.** *Let σ be an irreducible Pisot substitution. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a multi-tiling of K_σ. More precisely there is an integer $m\geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is an m-fold covering of almost every point of K_σ.*

12.1. **Exclusion Principle (general case).** Consider translations of a subtile $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ with $\gamma=\Phi'_K(\langle\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$. As in the unimodular case, we may assume finite variation $\mathcal{D}$ of $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\mathbf{0}\in\mathcal{D}$.

Since $\boldsymbol{q}\in\mathbb{Q}^d$ in general (cf.§9), we further require that the normalisation should satisfy $\langle\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v}\rangle\in\mathfrak{O}_K$ for all $\boldsymbol{q}\in\mathcal{D}$.

Then for $x=((a'_{k+1}:p'_k))_{k\geq 0}\in\Omega(b)$ there exists a polynomial F_γ of degree at most $N+d-2$ with integer coefficients so that

$$\Phi(x)+\gamma=(F_\gamma(\alpha_2),\dots,F_\gamma(\alpha_{r+s}),F_\gamma(\alpha),\dots,F_\gamma(\alpha))+\cdots\in\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma. \tag{29}$$

For $e = ((a_{k+1} : p_k))_{k \geq 0} \in \Omega_N(a)$, there exists a polynomial F_e of degree at most $N + d - 2$ with integer coefficients so that

$$\Phi(e) = (F_e(\alpha_2), \dots, F_e(\alpha_{r+s}), F_e(\alpha), \dots, F_e(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a). \tag{30}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the cylinder as in §9.1. The integer L will be specified later. Suppose that $S^N x \in \mathcal{E}$. Put

$$U(\Phi(e), N) = \prod_{i=2}^{r+s} B(F_e(\alpha_i), R_i) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} B(F_e(\alpha), \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$$

where $B(x, R)$ is the same as in §9.1 for $2 \leq i \leq r + s$ and

$$B(F_e(\alpha), \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) = F_e(\alpha) + \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

is compact and open in $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$.

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 25 in unit case.

Lemma 35. *Let $F(x)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients and height M of degree at most k for which $F(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty \setminus \{v_1\}} |F(\alpha)|_v \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | (\alpha)} |F(\alpha)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1}}{\alpha^k M}.$$

Proof. Observe that $|F(\alpha)|_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq 1$ for prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ with $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{q}$ since $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\alpha) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(n) \geq 0$. By the product formula it follows that

$$\prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}_\infty \setminus \{v_1\}} |F(\alpha)|_v \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | (\alpha)} |F(\alpha)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \prod_{v \neq v_1} |F(\alpha)|_v = \frac{1}{|F(\alpha)|} \geq \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1}}{\alpha^k M}$$

where $\prod_{v \neq v_1}$ means the product taken over all primes except v_1. □

Notice that $F_e(\alpha) - F_\gamma(\alpha)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 35. Also, $F_\gamma(\alpha) \in B(F_e(\alpha), \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ implies that

$$|F_\gamma(\alpha) - F_e(\alpha)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \Big(\frac{1}{q_i}\Big)^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \tag{31}$$

because, using a uniformizer $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ with $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\pi) = 1$, we can express

$$F(\alpha) - F_e(\alpha) = \pi^{\nu_i(N+d-2)} \xi$$

for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ $(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\xi) \geq 0)$.

Suppose further that (30) does not coincide with the partial sum of the first N terms in (29). Lemma 35,(D) and (31) show that

$$(F_\gamma(\alpha_2),\dots,F_\gamma(\alpha_{r+s}),F_\gamma(\alpha),\dots,F_\gamma(\alpha)) \notin \prod_{i=2}^{r+s} B(F_e(\alpha_i),2R_i) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} B(F_e(\alpha),\mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}). \tag{32}$$

If $F_\gamma(\alpha_i) \notin B(F_e(\alpha_i),2R_i)$ for some $2 \le i \le r+s$, then repeat the same argument as in §9.1 and $B(F_\gamma(\alpha_i),R_i) \cap B(F_e(\alpha_i),R_i) = \emptyset$.

Since $(\Delta|\alpha_i|)^{d-2} \ge |\alpha_i|^{d-2}$, it is enough to take L so as to satisfy (19) and $L > d-2$.

Suppose that $F_\gamma(\alpha) \notin B(F_e(\alpha),\mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ for some i. Put

$$\xi := \sum_{k \ge N+L} \alpha_i^{k-N-L}\langle f(p_k'),\boldsymbol{v}_i\rangle \in \mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}.$$

Since $\alpha^{N+L}\xi \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+L)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$, it follows

$$F_\gamma(\alpha) + \alpha^{N+L}\xi \notin F_e(\alpha) + \mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}.$$

Similarly $B(F_\gamma(\alpha_i),\mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \cap B(F_e(\alpha_i),\mathfrak{p}_i^{\nu_i(N+d-2)}\mathfrak{O}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) = \emptyset$. Hence, in any case, $\Phi(x)+\gamma \notin U(\Phi(e),N)$ and $U(\Phi(e),N) \cap U(\Phi(e'),N) = \emptyset$ for $\Phi(e) \ne \Phi(e')$.

Moreover if the partial sum of the first N terms in (30) never coincides with any member of $\Phi(\Omega_N) \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ for some open set $\mathcal{O}_2$, then

$$(\Phi(\mathcal{C})+\gamma) \cap \bigcup_{e\in\Omega_N,\Phi(e)\in\mathcal{O}_2} U(\Phi(e),N) = \emptyset$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the same cylinder set as in §9.1.

12.2. **Tiling results (general case).** Since

$$\mu(U(\Phi(e),n)) = \pi^s \frac{1-\alpha^{-1}}{2^{2s}M\alpha^{n+d-2}}|\det E_0(\sigma)|^{n+d-2} \times \prod_{i=1}^{k}\Big(\frac{1}{q_i}\Big)^{\nu_i(n+d-2)},$$

it follows that $\mu(U(\Phi(e),n))$ is the same as (22).

Lemma 36. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ share an open set $\mathcal{O}_1$ and there exists $N_0 > 0$ so that $U(\Phi(e),N) \subset (\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma) \cap \mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ for all $N \ge N_0$ and all $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$. If $\Phi(\Omega_{N_0}(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ also satisfies Theorem 32, then there exist $N \ge N_0$,$L_0 > 0$, $\Phi(e) \in \Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}_1$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $n(S^N x) \le L_0$, where $\Phi(\mathcal{B})+\gamma = U(\Phi(e),N+L_0)$.*

Proof. Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 26. Notice that Proposition 20 holds even for the general case. □

Theorem 37. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open set in K_σ and let $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma \in \mathcal{T}$. For all $x \in \Omega(b) \cap \Omega_\infty$ assume that every time $S^N x \in \mathcal{E}$, the partial sum of the first N terms of $\Phi(x)+\gamma$ does not coincide with any member of $\Phi(\Omega_N(a)) \cap \mathcal{O}$. Then $\big(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma\big) \cap \big(\mathrm{int}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{O}\big) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Proceed as in Theorem 27, using Lemma 36 instead of Lemma 26. □

Theorem 38. *The subtiles $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ are disjoint in measure.*

Proof. Using Theorem 37 instead of Theorem 27, proceed as in Theorem 28. □

Theorem 39. *$\mathcal{T}$ is a tiling of K_σ.*

Proof. Using Theorem 37 instead of Theorem 27, proceed as in Theorem 29. □

13. Coincidence conjecture

In this section, we provide a proof of Theorem B. In [14], Ito and Rao proves for unimodular, irreducible Pisot substitutions that $\mathcal{T}$ being a tiling implies the strong coincidence condition. Now that we have the tiling result(Theorem 39), it remains to fit their argument into the framework of the general case.

A *patch* of Γ is a finite subset of Γ. By abuse of language, the subcollection of $\mathcal{T}$ associated with a patch Γ_0

$$\{\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma_0\}$$

is also called a *patch*. A *translation* of the patch Γ_0 means that there exists $t \in K_\sigma$ so that $(y+t,b) \in \Gamma$ for all $(y,b) \in \Gamma_0$.

Theorem 40 ([16],[23],or [14] for the unimodular setting)**.** *Γ is quasi-periodic, i.e. for any patch there exists $R>0$ so that every ball of radius R in K_σ contains the first coordinates of a translation of this patch.*

Denote by Γ_B the subset of elements in Γ, the first coordinates of which are contained in B.

Lemma 41. *For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the radius of the largest ball B with $\Gamma_B \subseteq (\pi_2 \times id) \circ T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0,a)$ tends to infinity as n goes to infinity.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ is interior-dense, one can choose the largest ball $B(z_n,R_n)$ contained in $\alpha^{-n}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$. By (27)

$$\{\mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)+\pi_2(y) : (y,b) \in T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0,a)\}$$

is a patch of the tiling $\mathcal{T}$. By the set equation (28), this patch tiles $\alpha^{-n}\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)$ and hence $B(z_n,R_n)$. For any $(y,b) \notin T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0,a)$ with $(\pi_2(y),b) \in \Gamma$,

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)+\pi_2(y) \cap B(z_n,R_n) = \emptyset.$$

So if $C_1 > 0$ is taken so that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma \subseteq B(0, C_1)$, then $\pi_2(y) \notin B(z_n, R_n - C_1)$. Consequently $\Gamma_{B(z_n, R_n - C_1)} \subseteq (\pi_2 \times id) \circ T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0, a)$ and $R_n - C_1 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, where id means the identity map from $\mathcal{A}$ to itself. □

Theorem 42. *For any pair $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$, σ has a strong coincidence.*

Proof. Let $B(0, R_0)$ be a ball for $R_0 > 0$. Then $\Gamma_{B(0,R_0)}$ is a patch. By Lemma 41 there exists an arbitrarily large ball B with $\Gamma_B \subseteq (\pi_2 \times id) \circ T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0, 1)$. The quasi-periodicity of Γ yields that a translation of $\Gamma_{B(0,R_0)}$ appears in $\Gamma_B \subseteq (\pi_2 \times id) \circ T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0, 1)$. In particular, since $(0, i), (0, j) \in \Gamma_{B(0,R_0)}$, there exists a translation $t \in K_\sigma$ so that $(t, i), (t, j) \in (\pi_2 \times id) \circ T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0, 1)$. This implies that there exist prefixes $P^{(i)}$ of $\sigma^n(i)$ and $P^{(j)}$ of $\sigma^n(j)$ so that $\sigma^n(i) = P^{(i)}1*$ and $\sigma^n(j) = P^{(j)}1*$. Besides

$$t = \pi_2(\alpha^{-n}\Phi_K(\langle f(P^{(i)}), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)) = \pi_2(\Phi_K(\langle E_0(\sigma)^{-n} f(P^{(j)}), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)). \tag{33}$$

Combined with Corollary 21 the archimedian part of (33) implies that $f(P^{(i)}) = f(P^{(j)})$. This completes the proof. □

14. Discrete groups related to Rauzy fractal

In this section, we normalize the Haar measure μ on $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$: $\mu(\mathcal{R}_\sigma) = 1$.

14.1. **Domain exchange.** The *domain exchange transformation* $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{R}_\sigma \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = x + \Phi'_K(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_a, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a).$$

Even though $\mathcal{E}$ is multi-valued on $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$ for $a \neq b$, Theorem 38 shows that $\mathcal{E}$ can be seen as a measurable transformation on $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$.

Set $\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a)) = \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) + \Phi'(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_a, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_\sigma = \bigcup_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)$. As in the proof of Theorem 38, one can verify that $\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)$'s are disjoint in measure (Appendix D). Then $\mathcal{E}^{-1} : \mathcal{R}_\sigma \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ is defined by $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(y) = y - \Phi'(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_a, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)$. Since $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ is a piecewise isometry, it is clear that $\mu(\mathcal{E}^{-1}B) = \mu(B)$ for all Borel sets B in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ and that $(\mathcal{R}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving system.

Theorem 43. *$(\mathcal{R}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ is isomorphic to (X_σ, S, ν) as measure-preserving space.*

Proof. Combine Theorem B with [22] (or [2] for the unimodular case). □

Since having discrete spectrum is an isomorphism invariant, to prove Theorem A, it is enough to show that $(\mathcal{R}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a dynamical system with discrete spectrum.

14.2. **Discrete groups in K_α and H.** Minervino-Thuswaldner[16] establish a CPS interpretation of Rauzy fractals ($\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) = \Omega_a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $K_\sigma = H_{\mathrm{ext}}$,$\Gamma = \mathrm{supp}(\underline{\Upsilon})$), which allows to exploit the results of [23].

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\langle \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle : \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Then $\Phi_K(\mathcal{L} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\alpha^{-1}])$ is a discrete subgroup of K_α behind Γ. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{d} n_k \langle \boldsymbol{e}_k, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle : \sum_{k=1}^{d} n_k = 0, n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\}$$

and $\mathcal{M}^\star = \Phi'_K(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\mathcal{M}^\star$ is a discrete subgroup of H where

$$H = \mathbb{R}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|(\alpha)} \mathfrak{p}^{\delta(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{O}_\mathfrak{p} \subset K_\sigma$$

with $\delta(\mathfrak{p}) = \min\{\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p}(x) : x \in \mathcal{M}\}$ (see Lemma 6.49 in [23]). Similarly $\mathcal{L}^\star = \Phi'_K(\mathcal{L})$ is a lattice and dense in H by Kronecker's theorem.

Observe that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma \subset H$ (Proposition 8.1 in [16]).

Theorem 44. *$\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ contains a fundamental domain of $\mathcal{M}^\star$ and coincides with it up to sets of measure zero.*

Proof. For each $\langle \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle \in \mathcal{L}$, one can decompose $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ into $f(p)$ (p is a prefix of length $|\boldsymbol{p}|$ of $\sigma^m(u_0)$ for sufficiently large m) and an element of $\mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 30 and the denseness of $\mathcal{L}^\star$ in H, it follows that

$$H = \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{M}^\star} \mathcal{R}_\sigma + t = \mathcal{R}_\sigma + \mathcal{M}^\star.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ contains a fundamental domain of $\mathcal{M}^\star$.

On the other hand, Theorem 39 implies that $\mathcal{R}_\sigma + \mathcal{M}^\star$ is a tiling of H ("density argument" in Theorem 6.72 of [23] or Proposition 3.5 of [14] for the unimodular case), which completes the proof. □

The quotient mapping $q : H \to H/\mathcal{M}^\star$ induces a compact metric space $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma] := q(\mathcal{R}_\sigma)$.

To see this, put $[x] = q(x)$. Denote by d_K the distance on K_σ. Define

$$d_q([x],[y]) := \inf_{y+\eta \in q^{-1}[y]} d_K(x, y+\eta). \tag{34}$$

well-definedness: If $[x] = [x']$ and $[y] = [y']$, there are $t, s \in \mathcal{M}^\star$ with $x' = x + t$ and $y' = y + s$. Since $d_K(x+t, y+s+\eta) = d_K(x, y+\eta+s-t)$, it follows that $d_q([x],[y]) = d_q([x'],[y'])$.

triangle inequality: In (34), the infimum is attained at some $\eta \in \mathcal{M}^\star$. Then

$$d_K(x, z+\eta) + d_K(z, y+\eta') = d_K(x-\eta, z) + d_K(z, y+\eta') \geq d_K(x-\eta, y+\eta')$$

yields the triangle inequality. The rest of the axioms of distance are easily supplied.

compactness: Since q is continuous, $([\mathcal{R}_\sigma], d_q)$ is a compact metric space.

Define $T : [\mathcal{R}_\sigma] \to [\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$ by $T[x] := [\mathcal{E}(x)]$. Even if $\mathcal{E}$ is multi-valued on $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$ for $a \neq b$, by taking quotient, T is well-defined. Indeed for $x \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma(a) \cap \mathcal{R}_\sigma(b)$, we have

$$x + \Phi'(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_a, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle) - (x + \Phi'(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_b, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle)) = \Phi'(\langle \boldsymbol{e}_a - \boldsymbol{e}_b, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle) \in \mathcal{M}^\star.$$

Similarly define $U : [\mathcal{R}_\sigma] \to [\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$ by $U[x] := [\mathcal{E}^{-1}(x)]$. It is easy to verify $TU = UT = id$. Thus T is invertible.

Lemma 45. *$d_q(T[x], T[y]) = d_q([x], [y])$ for all $[x], [y] \in [\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$. In other words, T is an isometry of $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$.*

Proof. This immediately follows from the definitions of d_q and T. □

Put $\phi = \Phi \circ \Xi : X_\sigma \to \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ (onto). Then $\phi(S(w)) = \mathcal{E}(\phi(w))$ for all $w \in X_\sigma$ even if $\mathcal{E}$ is possibly multi-valued ([22]).

Lemma 46. *$\{T^n[\mathbf{0}]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$.*

Proof. Take $w \in X_\sigma$ so that $w = \cdots \sigma^n(\emptyset) \cdots \sigma(\emptyset)\emptyset.u_0 s_0 \sigma(s_0) \cdots \sigma^n(s_0) \cdots$ with $\phi(w) = \mathbf{0}$. Then

$$\phi(S^k w) = \mathcal{E}^k(\mathbf{0}) = \Phi'_K(\langle f(u_0 u_1 \cdots u_{k-1}), \boldsymbol{v} \rangle) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 30 the orbit of $\mathbf{0}$ by $\mathcal{E}$ gives a dense subset of $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$. Since q is a local homeomorphism, the denseness is preserved by q. □

We apply the following theorem to $([\mathcal{R}_\sigma], T)$ with Lemma 45 and 46.

Theorem 47 (Halmos-von Neumann)**.** *Let $T : X \to X$ be a homeomorphism on a compact metric space X. The followings are equivalent.*

(i) *T is topologically transitive ($\exists [x_0] \in X$ $\mathcal{O}([x_0]) = \{T^n[x_0]\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is dense in X) and is an isometry for some metric d on X*
(ii) *T is topologically conjugate to a minimal rotation on a compact abelian metric group.*

See Theorem 5.18 of [26] for a proof. A group structure on X can be introduced through $\mathcal{O}([x_0])$: a multiplication $*$ is defined by $T^n[x_0] * T^m[x_0] := T^{n+m}[x_0]$. The isometry (Lemma 45) allows group operations to extend on X and to be continuous. For any $[x] \in X$, $T[x] = (T[x_0]) * [x]$, thus a rotation.

Let $\mathcal{B}([\mathcal{R}_\sigma])$ be the Borel σ-algebra of $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$. Define a measure on $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$ by

$$\mu_q(B) = \mu(q^{-1}B) \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}([\mathcal{R}_\sigma])).$$

Since $T \circ q = q \circ \mathcal{E}$ by definition, it follows that

$$\mu_q(T^{-1}B) = \mu(q^{-1}T^{-1}B) = \mu(\mathcal{E}^{-1}q^{-1}B) = \mu(q^{-1}B) = \mu_q(B)$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}([\mathcal{R}_\sigma])$.

Lemma 48. *$(\mathcal{R}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ is isomorphic to $([\mathcal{R}_\sigma], T, \mu_q)$ as measure-preserving space .*

Proof. By Theorem 44, q is an almost homeomorphism. Namely there exists a set of measure zero $\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma$ so that $q : \mathcal{R}_\sigma \backslash \mathcal{N} \to [\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$ is invertible. Then the result follows immediately. □

Lemma 49. *The invariant measure μ_q is the normalised Haar measure on $[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$.*

Proof. We verify

$$\mu_q([x]E) = \mu(E) \quad \text{for all } [x] \in [\mathcal{R}_\sigma] \text{ and } E \in \mathcal{B}([\mathcal{R}_\sigma]),$$

where $[x]E = \{[x] * [e] : [e] \in E\}$. First suppose that $[x] = T^n[x_0]$. Observe that $(T^n[x_0]) * [e] = T^n[e]$. For, if $T^{n_i}[x_0] \to [e]$ as $i \to \infty$, we have

$$d(T^n[x_0] * [e], T^n[e]) \le d(T^n[x_0] * [e], T^n[x_0] * T^{n_i}[x_0]) + d(T^{n+n_i}[x_0], T^n[e]).$$

Then

$$\mu\{(T^n[x_0])E\} = \mu\{(T^n[x_0]) * [e] : [e] \in E\} = \mu(T^n E) = \mu(E).$$

Second, suppose that $[x] = \lim_{i\to\infty} T^{n_i}[x_0]$. Put $[a_i] = T^{n_i}[x_0]$. From the above argument, $\mu([a_i]E) = \mu(E)$. A sequence of maps $g_i : [\mathcal{R}_\sigma] \to [\mathcal{R}_\sigma]$, defined by $g_i([y]) = [a_i]^{-1}[y]$, converge pointwisely to $[x]^{-1}[y]$. Thus

$$|\mu([x]E) - \mu([a_i]E)| \le \int_{[\mathcal{R}_\sigma]} |1_E([x]^{-1}[y]) - 1_E(g_i([y]))| d\mu([y]) \to 0 \quad (i \to \infty).$$

□

Lemma 46 and 49 show that $([\mathcal{R}_\sigma], T, \mu_q)$ is an ergodic rotation. Since an ergodic rotation of a compact abelian group with respect to the normalised Haar measure has discrete spectrum (see Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 3.5 of [26] for example), so do $([\mathcal{R}_\sigma], T, \mu_q)$ and hence $(\mathcal{R}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$ by Lemma 48, which completes the proof of Theorem A.

Acknowledgement: The author thanks members of Tokyo Dynamical System Seminar, especially Emeritus Prof. Shunji Ito, Prof. Makoto Mori and Prof. Shigeki Akiyama for their continuous encouragement. The author is also grateful to Maki Furukado for providing a list of Pisot substitutions and pictures.

Appendix A. The existence of periodic points for σ

For a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$, $|w| = n$ is the *length* of w. Let σ be a substitution.

Proposition 50. *There exist $k > 0$ and $u_{-1}u_0 \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ so that $\sigma^k(u_{-1}.u_0) = \cdots u_{-1}.u_0 \cdots$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}^2 : ab \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma\}$. Imagine the graph for which the vertex is $\mathfrak{B}$ and the edge is drawn from (a,b) to (a',b') if a' is the last letter of $\sigma(a)$ and b' is the first letter of $\sigma(b)$. Since $\mathfrak{B}$ is a finite set, there must exist a closed path on the graph. Any vertex on this closed path satisfies the required property. □

If σ is primitive, then $|\sigma^n(a)| \to \infty$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as $n \to \infty$. So

$$u = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma^{nk}(a).\sigma^{nk}(b) \in X_\sigma$$

exists and provides a periodic point:$\sigma^k(u) = u$.

Appendix B. irreducibility

Proposition 51. *If σ is an irreducible Pisot substitution, so is σ^n for any $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that σ^n is Pisot for $n \geq 1$ if σ is Pisot.
Let $\phi(x) = \det|xI - E_0(\sigma)^n|$ be the characteristic polynomial of $E_0(\sigma^n) = E_0(\sigma)^n$. Since $E_0(\sigma)$ is diagonalizable, so is $E_0(\sigma)^n$. Then

$$\phi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(x - \alpha_i^n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Suppose that $\phi(x)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there exist $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg g, \deg h \geq 1$ such that

$$\phi(x) = g(x)h(x).$$

Hence every α_i^n is a root of either $g(x)$ or $h(x)$. If the multiplicity of α_i^n in $\phi(x)$ is $k \geq 2$ and if $g(\alpha_i^n) \neq 0$, then the multiplicity of α_i^n in $h(x)$ is k. Inductively, when the multiplicity of α_i^n in $g(x)$ is l, the multiplicity of α_i^n in $h(x)$ is $k - l$. Consequently $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ have a factorization in $\mathbb{C}[x]$:

$$g(x) = \prod_{i\in\Lambda_1}(x - \alpha_i^n),\ h(x) = \prod_{i\in\Lambda_2}(x - \alpha_i^n)$$

where $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 = \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$. Since $\alpha_1^n > 1$ is simple, either $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ exclusively has α_1^n as a root. We may assume that $g(\alpha_1^n) \neq 0$. Then the constant term of $g(x)$ is less than one in modulus, which is a contradiction to the fact that $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus $\phi(x)$ must be irreducible. □

Appendix C. ergodic measure ν

This section complements the properties of ν needed in the previous sections.
Since (X_σ, S, ν) is minimal and uniquely ergodic, it follows that $\nu(U) > 0$ for all non-empty open sets $U \subset X_\sigma$ (Theorem 6.17 of [26]). Moreover ν is

non-atomic ($\nu(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in X_\sigma$). Indeed, notice that $\nu(\{x\}) = \nu(S^n\{x\})$ for any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\{S^n x\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ is dense in X_σ by minimality, $\{S^n x\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ must be all distinct: otherwise $\#X_\sigma < \infty$, which contradicts to the fact that $\#X_\sigma = \infty$ (see [13]). Set $\nu(\{x\}) = c$. Then

$$\nu(\{S^n x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}) = \sum_{y\in\{S^n x\}} \nu(\{y\}) = \sum_{y\in\{S^n x\}} c,$$

which concludes $c = 0$.

Appendix D. $\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)$

Applying the domain exchange transformation $\mathcal{E}$ to (27) and (28), one obtains that

$$\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a) = \bigcup_{(y,b)\in T_{\mathrm{ext}}^{-n}(0,a)} \alpha^n \mathcal{R}'_\sigma(b) - \Phi'_K(\langle f(s_0\sigma(s_1)\cdots\sigma^{n-1}(s_{n-1})), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle) \tag{35}$$

where $y = \alpha^{-n}\Phi_K(\langle f(\sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\sigma^{n-2}(p_{n-2})\dots p_0), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle)$ and

$$\sigma^n(b) = \sigma^{n-1}(p_{n-1})\sigma^{n-2}(p_{n-2})\cdots p_0 a s_0\sigma(s_1)\cdots\sigma^{n-1}(s_{n-1}).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(a)) \le \sum_{b\xrightarrow{p}a} \mu(\alpha^n\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(b)) =& \alpha^{-n}\sum_{b\xrightarrow{p}a}\mu(\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(b)) \\ \le& \alpha^{-n}\sum_{b\in\mathcal{A}}(E_0(\sigma)^n)_{ab}\mu(\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(b)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, putting $\boldsymbol{z} = (\mu(\mathcal{R}'_\sigma(b)))_{b\in\mathcal{A}}$, $E_0(\sigma)^n\boldsymbol{z} \ge \alpha^n\boldsymbol{z}$. By Perron-Frobenius theorem, the above inequality is the equality. Consequently the union in (35) is disjoint in measure. See [2] and [16].

Appendix E. Height

Put $\boldsymbol{a} = (1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1})$. Then there exists a $d\times d$ matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le d}$ with integer entries so that $C\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{v}$. Set $f(p'_k) = (p'_{k1}, \dots, p'_{kd})$ for $p'_k \in \mathrm{Pref}$ and $\boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v}\rangle = A_0 + A_1\alpha + \cdots + A_{N+d-2}\alpha^{N+d-2} \tag{36}$$

where

$$A_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=1}^d c_{l(k+1)}q_l + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\sum_{l=1}^d c_{lj}p'_{(k+1-j)l} & \text{if } 0 \le k \le d-1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^d c_{lj}p'_{(k+1-j)l} & \text{if } d \le k \le N-1, \\ \sum_{j=k-N+2}^d \sum_{l=1}^d c_{lj}p'_{(k+1-j)l} & \text{if } N \le k \le N+d-2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (36) has a bounded height which is independent of N. Similarly

$$\langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha^k \langle f(p'_k), \boldsymbol{v} \rangle - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha^k \langle f(p_k), \boldsymbol{v} \rangle \tag{37}$$

also has a bounded height M which is independent of N.

References

1. Shigeki Akiyama, Marcy Barge, Valérie Berthé, J-Y Lee, and Anne Siegel, *On the Pisot substitution conjecture*, Mathematics of Aperiodic Order, Springer, 2015, pp. 33–72.
2. Pierre Arnoux and Shunji Ito, *Pisot substitutions and Rauzy fractals*, Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society Simon Stevin **8** (2001), no. 2, 181–208.
3. Marcy Barge, *The Pisot conjecture for β-substitutions*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2016), 1–29.
4. Marcy Barge and Beverly Diamond, *Coincidence for substitutions of Pisot type*, Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France **130** (2002), no. 4, 619–626.
5. Marcy Barge and Jaroslaw Kwapisz, *Geometric theory of unimodular Pisot substitutions*, American Journal of Mathematics (2006), 1219–1282.
6. Vincent Canterini and Anne Siegel, *Automate des préfixes-suffixes associé à une substitution primitive*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux **13** (2001), no. 2, 353–369.
7. ———, *Geometric representation of substitutions of Pisot type*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **353** (2001), no. 12, 5121–5144.
8. FM Dekking and M Keane, *Mixing properties of substitutions*, Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete **42** (1978), no. 1, 23–33.
9. Frederik Michel Dekking, *The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitutions of constant length*, Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete **41** (1978), no. 3, 221–239.
10. Jean-Marie Dumont and Alain Thomas, *Systemes de numération et fonctions fractales relatifs aux substitutions*, Theoretical Computer Science **65** (1989), no. 2, 153–169.
11. Adriano M Garsia, *Arithmetic properties of Bernoulli convolutions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **102** (1962), no. 3, 409–432.
12. Michael Hollander and Boris Solomyak, *Two-symbol Pisot substitutions have pure discrete spectrum*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **23** (2003), no. 02, 533–540.
13. Charles Holton and Luca Q Zamboni, *Geometric realizations of substitutions*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **126** (1998), no. 2, 149–179.
14. Shunji Ito and Hui Rao, *Atomic surfaces, tilings and coincidence I. irreducible case*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **153** (2006), no. 1, 129–155.
15. Serge Lang, *Algebra revised third edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (2002), no. 211.
16. Milton Minervino and Jörg Thuswaldner, *The geometry of non-unit Pisot substitutions*, Annales de lfinstitut Fourier, vol. 64, Association des Annales de lfinstitut Fourier, 2014, pp. 1373–1417.

17. Harold Marston Morse, *Recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **22** (1921), no. 1, 84–100.
18. Martine Queffélec, *Substitution dynamical systems-spectral analysis*, vol. 1294, Springer, 2010.
19. G Rauzy, *Sequences defined by iterated morphisms*, Sequences, Springer, 1990, pp. 275–286.
20. Géard Rauzy, *Rotations sur les groupes, nombres algébriques, et substitutions*, Séminaire de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux **16** (1988), 1–12.
21. Gérard Rauzy, *Nombres algébriques et substitutions*, Bulletin de la Société mathématique de France **110** (1982), 147–178.
22. Anne Siegel, *Représentation des systemes dynamiques substitutifs non unimodulaires*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **23** (2003), no. 04, 1247–1273.
23. Bernd Sing, *Pisot substitutions and beyond*, Dissertation, 2006.
24. Víctor F Sirvent and Yang Wang, *Self-affine tiling via substitution dynamical systems and Rauzy fractals*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **206** (2002), no. 2, 465–485.
25. William P Thurston, *Groups, tilings and finite state automata*, AMS Colloquium Lecture Notes (1989).
26. Peter Walters, *An introduction to Ergodic theory*, vol. 79, Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.

Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Japan
E-mail address: nakaishi.kentarou@nihon-u.ac.jp

This figure "prefix_automaton.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arxiv.org/ps/1610.03146v3